Executive Commissioner Chris Traylor held stakeholder meetings in 2015 to gather input on ways to improve the managed care landscape, from both the member and provider perspective. According to Executive Commissioner Traylor, the purpose was to improve provider experience in managed care and ultimately to ensure the 4.5 million people relying on the Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) programs have appropriate access to services to enable them to live strong, productive lives. He also shared thoughts that it is important as Texas evolves from fee-for-service (FFS) to managed care, to project future needs to create the best system possible.

After receiving recommendations, additional meetings were held with stakeholders, on November 9, 2015, and December 8, 2015, to further discuss the ideas and potential next steps. Executive Commissioner Traylor explained that some recommendations the agency can handle administratively, some will require legislative action, and then there will be items on which the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) will not take any action. He committed to posting decisions made for each recommendation on the website along with an explanation of why action is or is not being taken, and he advised staff they should do everything possible to implement the stakeholder recommendation. Executive Commissioner Charles Smith is equally committed to improving member and provider experience in Medicaid Managed Care. Gary JesseeEnrique Marquez, Deputy Executive Commissioner of the Medicaid and Social Services Division in coordination with Jami Snyder, Associate Commissioner for the Medicaid and CHIP Services DepartmentStephanie Muth, State Medicaid Director, hold responsibility for coordination and implementation of this project and monitoring its progress.

HHSC responses were shared directly with stakeholder groups in February 2016, updates were first posted to the website on April 11, 2016 and July 22, 2016, and biannual updates on items in progress or under discussion will continue to be shared on the website. Items that are closed as of the last update will be provided in a separate file as there will be no further update. Items were closed either as complete, no action to be taken, or other (issue to be addressed through another existing process). In each quarterly update, changes to previous responses are noted with red strikethrough for language that is being removed in order to provide an update, and new language is provided in red.

Questions about this project can sent to MedicaidManagedCare@hhsc.state.tx.us.

Table 1: Explanation of Response Fields

Tuble 1. Explanation of	response i leias								
Agenda / Division /	The abbreviation of the agency, division, and department leading this response. Responses include:								
Department	FSD: Financial Services Division								
	HDIS: Health, Developmental and Independence Services (Department)								
	MCS: Medicaid and CHIP Services (Department)								
	MSS: Medical Social Services Division								
	HHSC: Health and Human Services Commission								
Status	The overall status of the activity. Choices include:								
	No action to be taken								
	Complete								
	• In progress								
	Under consideration								
	Other (Issue to be addressed through another existing process.)								
Number	The item number or numbers from the recommendation from the April 2016 update.								
Recommendation	The summary language provided in the April 2016 update for the recommendation by the stakeholder. In general, it begins with a								
	summary statement and then the full recommendation.								
Additional	If additional information was provided by stakeholders in the subsequent stakeholder meetings or by email to the program or project								
Stakeholder	manager, then this is included here with notes of the source of the information.								
Background									
Category	The category for the type of recommendation assigned to the recommendation for the April 2016 update. Categories include alternative								
	payment mechanisms, benefits, claims, communications, contract provisions, service coordination / member assistance, network								
	adequacy / access to care, continuity of care, rates, and stakeholder engagement and feedback.								
Provided By	The stakeholder group that provided the recommendation.								
HHSC Response	A high-level summary of the response from the agency to this recommendation. The HHSC response previously shared on the HHSC								
	website in April 2016 is included in black. New wording displayed in red, and red strikethrough indicates old wording that no longer								
	applies.								
Date Last Updated	The date when language for this item was last updated.								
Major Milestones	The key steps planned to complete this item or to obtain a decision (if the item is under consideration).								
with Status Updates									

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	1c				
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:						
	Department		In Progress: X						
	_		Complete:						
			Other:						
Recommendation:	Evaluate current network access standards related to distance clients must travel to receive care.								
			nt network access standards rela						
					rently now have to travel outside of				
					a result of such; etc. Note: Many				
					m Corpus to San Antonio) to take				
	their loved one to	the doctor. More	importantly, many individuals a	are not able to tolera	te lengthy trips.				
Additional Stakeholder									
Background:									
Category:	Network Adequac	cy / Access to Car	re						
Provided By:									
HHSC Response:	Senate Bill (SB) 760 and new rules issued by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) require HHSC to establish minimum access standards, including time and distance, for managed care organization (MCO) provider networks for certain provider types. As part of its this analysis, HHSC staff completed the following activities: • compared HHSC existing provider access standards to other state Medicaid programs as well as Medicare standards established by CMS; • conducted literature reviews; • analyzed geo-maps, MCO network adequacy data and out-of-network utilization charts, and provider termination information; • requested HHSC external quality review organization (EQRO) conduct an analysis of best practices for developing provider access standards and monitoring MCO compliance with established standards; • reviewed annual survey results and "secret shopper" information collected by HHSC EQRO; • developed methodology for "secret shopper" and "provider referral" studies in the context of access requirements; • met with numerous stakeholder groups and reviewed stakeholder feedback provided at a the 11/30/2015-public forum held on 11/30/2015; and • reviewed complaints related to network adequacy as well as survey results from the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems that show member satisfaction with MCO provider networks. Using this information and data, HHSC staff developed a draft proposal for revising existing distance and appointment availability standards as well as creating new travel time standards. HHSC shared the draft proposal at the stakeholder forum on 6/6/2016. HHSC staff reviewed stakeholder input, analyzed the impact these new standards would have on								

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	contract provisions and rules that would need to be amended to implement the proposed access standards. HHSC					
	proposed made 3/1/2017 managed care contract changes and will revise rules after contract changes are effective. Any					
	access standards not included in the 3/1/2017 contract amendment will be included in subsequent amendments. This will					
	likely include access standards for urgent care and other acute care services. Network adequacy standards for LTSS will					
	be included in 9/1/2018 managed care contracts. Updates to information about implementation of these SB760					
	requirements are located on the HHSC website at					
	https://hhs.texas.gov/services/health/medicaid and chip/provider information/senate bill 760. For additional information					
	related to the revised network adequacy process, please contact MedicaidCHIP_Network_Adequacy@hhsc.state.tx.us					
Date Last Updated:	3/10/2017 10/26/2017					

	• `	Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Develop provider access standards for MCO	6/1/2016	Completed	
	provider networks.			
2	Conduct stakeholder forum to receive feedback on	6/6/2016	Completed	
	implementing SB 760.			
3	Compile and summarize stakeholder feedback	7/12/2016	Completed	
4	Reassess and revise proposed provider access	8/5/2016	Completed	
	standards based on stakeholder feedback.			
5	Amend managed care contracts and agency rules as	3/1/2017	Completed	
	necessary to include initial access standards.			
6	Amend managed care contracts and agency rules as	9/1/2018	On Target	
	necessary to include long term services and			
	supports and other network adequacy standards to			
	meet requirements of CMS rules.			
7	Amend agency rules as necessary to include revised	TBD	Ongoing	HHSC is currently in the process of amending agency rules.
	access standards.			

Agency/Division/Departme		Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	1d			
nt:	Department	Status	No Action to be Taken:	1 (dilloci)	10			
	· F		In Progress: X					
			Complete:					
			Other: X					
Recommendation:	Explore increasing single case agreements for persons with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD).							
		· ·						
	Explore options for inci	easing the number of	'single case' agreements MCOs repor	tedly have in an effort to	ensure persons			
	with IDD have at least t	the same access to car	e they had prior to the 9/1/14 transitio	n. [When will the reports	s called for in Rider			
	81 related to Medicaid	Managed Care Organi	zation Network Adequacy Action Rep	oort and, more important	ly, Rider 82 related			
	to Assessment of Single	e Case Agreements be	available?]					
Additional Stakeholder								
Background:								
Category:	Network Adequacy / Ad	ccess to Care						
Provided By:	PPAT							
HHSC Response:			ed to provide members with access to					
			ce in finding a provider. HHSC assess					
			SC is currently collecting data on singl					
			and will share the analysis with stakeh					
			e information on corrective actions tal	•	<u> </u>			
			The Combined Report on Medicaid N					
			the public February 2017. Here is the					
	https://hhs.texas.gov/sit	es/default/files//Comb	oined%20reports%20SB760%20and%	20Riders% 2081% 20and	%2082%20PDF.pdf			
			d%20reports%20SB760%20and%20R					
			hat can sufficiently serve their membe		ACOs to enter into			
	single case agreements	when absolutely nece	ssary to ensure each member has acce	ss to necessary services.				
	UUSC will continue me	onitoring afforts to an	sura mambara agossa Madigaid banafi	ts including sarvious for	individuala with			
	IDD and related conditi		sure members access Medicaid benefit	is, including services for	marviduais with			
		ons.						
	HHSC and the Hogg Fo	oundation hosted a Me	edicaid Brainstorming Session on Sept	ember 20, 2016 to addre	ss service gans and			
	solutions for individuals dually diagnosed with IDD and behavioral health conditions. Part of the discussion addressed provider shortages and gaps in service provision that members with IDD experience.							
	shortages and gaps in service provision that members with 1DD experience.							
	HHSC reviewed the fee	dback provided durin	g the brainstorming session, sent the b	orainstorming notes to all	external			
			ellected accurately and completely, and					
	recommendations and the		J 1 1 1 1 3 7 7 1 1 1	1				
		U 1						
					D 5			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	The IDD System Redesign Advisory Committee (SRAC) will continue this discussion. Refer to the transition to managed care IDD SRAC subcommittee for future information.
Date Last Updated:	03/12/17-11/13/17

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Rider 81 and Rider 82 Reports were combined and		Completed	The report was delayed due to combining Rider 81, Rider 82,
	are available to the public.	2/1/2017		and SB760 into one report.
2	HHSC Medicaid Brainstorming Session to address	9/29/2016	Completed	Meeting held on 9/29 and not by 9/15 due to legislative
	service gaps and solutions for individuals dually			hearing conflict with original date.
	diagnosed with IDD and behavioral health			
	conditions.			
3	Review feedback obtained during the brainstorming	2/21/17	Completed	
	session, and send compiled notes to external			
	stakeholders.			
4	Identify opportunities in the IDD System Redesign	9/1/202118	Ongoing	
	where MH-IDD recommendations discussed during			
	the brainstorming session can be utilized.			

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	1f			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department		In Progress: X					
			Complete:					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Improve provider							
					out within months, followed by			
	making, as appropreture in making, as a making and a making a ma	priate, needed cha	anges to enhance acute care prov	vider recruitment and	l retention across the MCO			
Additional Stakeholder	This recommenda	tion was discusse	ed in a meeting with PPAT on 8/	/8/2016. PPAT provi	ided feedback that retention is			
Background:					vill be accepted without needing			
	changes before pr	ocessing (clean c	laim).	· ·				
Category:	Network Adequae	cy / Access to Car	re					
Provided By:	PPAT							
HHSC Response:			caid MCOs to notify HHSC of p					
					ditionally, MCOs that do not meet			
					Geo-Mapping Report standards—			
			types such as primary care phys					
			eon, urologist, ophthalmologist,					
			cally submit UMCM 5.15 Speci					
			d appreciates continued stakehol					
			on strengths and challenges with					
			gram and increasing the number					
			*	•	ions and feedback. Texas Medicaid			
					related to Medicaid State Programs			
					agement for Children and Pregnant			
				e additional options	to work with the TMHP to recruit			
	providers underrepresented in the Medicaid network. HHSC also meets with targeted stakeholder groups to discuss issues related to shortages of providers accepting certain							
			s with IDD. Work on this issue					
	_		If stakeholders have additional					
D 4 I 4 II 1 4 I			Care@hhsc.state.tx.us with the su	ubject line: Data Reg	garding Recommendation 11.			
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 12/4/201	1						

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Identify and review existing reports and sources of information to review for more information about provider terminations and feedback.	9/1/2017	Delayed Completed	This item is on hold due to current resource limitations and will be re-evaluated in September 2017.
2	Explore additional options to work with TMHP to recruit providers underrepresented in the Medicaid network.	9/1/2017	Delayed	This item is on hold due to current resource limitations and will be re-evaluated in September 2017. This activity is closely related to item 34 d / 100 / 101. As such for future information or updates refer to IDD SRAC transition to managed care subcommittee for stakeholders opportunities to engage.
2	Discuss billing challenges with MCOs during the MCO one-on-one meetings to find out if they are seeing this issue, and steps they are taking to address the issue.	4/1/2018	On Target	
3	Identify next steps to improve provider recruitment including options to assess and address issues with billing and submitting a claim that will not need changes before processing.	69 /1/2017	Delayed On Target	This item is on hold due to current resource limitations and will be re-evaluated in September 2017. Next steps will be identified as appropriate after meetings with MCOs. Meetings are scheduled through June.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	3a			
S	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:					
	1		In Progress: X					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Evaluate the exped	ited appeal, service	authorization and prior authorization	process for IDD clier	nts.			
Additional Stakeholder	Require plans to cr	eate an expedited ap	peal, service authorization and prior	authorization process	in order to resolve			
Background:			n within timeframes more quickly the					
			s in most situations. For example, the					
			tion is dispensed on Friday, because					
			nday, then the client goes without the	e medication for an in-	definite period of time			
	•	<u> </u>	y for the medication.					
Category:	Network Adequacy							
Provided By:			vices of Texas (PACSTX)					
HHSC Response:		`	UMCC) Section 8.1.21.2, "Prior Au					
			pharmacy to fill consecutive 72-hour					
			the pharmacy for the temporary sup					
			A) call center, the MCO must provid					
		•	on is intended to ensure members ha					
	_	•	the pharmacy to dispense and be rein		1 1 0			
		is actively working	to make sure providers, members, a	nd MCOs understand	the process and have			
	tools to utilize it.							
	This tonic was the	focus of discussions	of the IDD Managed Care Improve	mant Warkaraun an O	/22/2015 10/5/2015			
			ng discussed in the IDD System Red					
			with the subcommittee to identify rec					
			as, and pharmacies are aware of the					
	representative from HHSC's Vendor Drug Program (VDP) to develop a prescription education information flyer for members and LTSS providers to use to assist in this process. At their October 2016 and December 2016 meetings, the							
	subcommittee reviewed a draft and discussed recommendations for the flyer and provided feedback to the representative							
	from VDP. The flyer was sent was reviewed by to HHSC Communications and Media Services to ensure the language							
			with IDD. The subcommittee and w					
			April October 2017 meetings and vo					
Date Last Updated:	02/24/17 -11/13/17	Total mooning in	apin october 2017, moonings und vo	to to imanze and par				
zatt zast e paateat	5=:2::7: 11:15/17							

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee.	9/22/2015	Completed	
2	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee.	10/5/2015	Completed	
3	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee.	2/8/2016	Completed	
4	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee.	5/2/2016	Completed	
5	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee.	6/15/2016	Completed	
6	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee to discuss recommended changes and review tools.	8/31/2016	Completed	
7	Full IDD SRAC Meeting. The subcommittee will present to the committee.	10/26/17	Delayed Completed	The informational flyer was not complete by October 2016. This project will not be presented during the full IDD SRAC and instead the subcommittee will continue work on this project.
8	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee reviewed a draft tool and provided feedback.	10/3/2016	Completed	
9	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee reviewed the updated tool and provided additional feedback.	12/13/16	Completed	
10	IDD System Redesign Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee will review the final tool.	4/4/17 10/03/17	On Target Completed	Pharmacy brochure will be distributed to providers, MCOs, and published on the IDD SRAC webpage.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	3 b-d			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department		In Progress: X					
			Complete:					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Educate IDD clients and providers about the appeal process and improve the timeliness of MCO responses to IDD							
	providers and families.							
	Educate IDD clien	nts and providers	about the role of the appeal prod	cess to resolve certa	in types of issues with the MCO,			
					n a complaint should be filed with			
	HHSC, and the rig	ghts and responsib	pilities of clients and providers i	n those processes.				
	IDD providers and families have systemic issues with obtaining services for individuals in a timely manner. The emphas on the HHSC website is to work through MCOs and their processes prior to sending a complaint to HHSC. However, providers for individuals with IDD have had a difficult time understanding how to navigate the internal workings of the MCOs. When an issue arises, providers first attempt to get a hold of a MCO service coordinator. If and when a service coordinator returns a phone call, the response is usually not timely. For example, if the client needs to see a psychiatrist is order to have a change in medications because of an emerging condition, IDD providers and families have reported getting bumped from one person to the next in attempts to resolve issues, delaying the delivery of care for many individuals. The lack of timely response from the MCO often leads to providers and/or families paying out of pocket for services that should have been paid for by the MCO. These incidents are rarely reported as a complaint to HHSC since they end up being resolved by the family or provider. However, the time involved to resolve an issue by IDD provider staff and families is extensive and may have led to negative outcomes for the individuals involved. In this way, complain data can be misleading because families and providers rarely file a formal appeal or complaint with the MCO (attempting							
	unless the issue is		coordinator) and even less frequ	ientry get to the step	of reporting issues to HHSC			
Additional Stakeholder Background:	unicos de lougeurong.							
Category:	Network Adequac	cy / Access to Car	re					
Provided By:	PACSTX							
HHSC Response:	HHSC requested including how to complaint data an and the IDD Tran	SRAC made recommendations on how to educate and reach out to individuals with IDD about managed care. Equested feedback from the IDD SRAC on approaches to educating members on the complaint processes, go how to encourage individuals to formally submit complaints, which provides HHSC with more accurate at data and enables HHSC to address issues as they arise. HHSC will continue to coordinate with the IDD SRAC DD Transition to Managed Care Subcommittee as issues arise to inform the MCOs about issues, to work through n of issues, and improve service delivery.						

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

inproving months of und from	vider Experience in viculeara vianagea Sare
	The IDD SRAC recommended that the MCOs, Local Intellectual and Developmental Disability Authorities (LIDDAs), and the LTSS HHSC waiver providers meet routinely through regional healthcare collaborations to address operational issues and specific case issues. Regional healthcare collaboration meetings may assist in resolving day-to-day operational issues and challenges as the MCOs, LIDDAs and providers have an opportunity to work through specific cases.
	SB 760, 84th Legislature, Regular Session, 2015, directs the HHS Office of the Ombudsman to coordinate a network of entities to provide support and information services to Medicaid managed care consumers. The Office of the Ombudsman has held two meetings of the "Managed Care Support Network" that includes HHSC, DADS, the Department of Family and Protective Services, Aging and Disability Resource Centers, Area Agency on Aging, enrollment broker (MAXIMUS), and other representatives who interact regularly with consumers and families.
	The quality subcommittee of the IDD SRAC met regularly and made recommendations on a more user-friendly guide for individuals and families, including key differences between the complaint and appeal processes. The quality subcommittee's recommendations included a more accessible webpage that includes pictures and fewer words to file a complaint, an appeal, or to obtain information, and for the MCOs to send out a magnet with a number to call to file a complaint. The quality subcommittee ended and the quality subcommittee projects transferred to the transition to managed care subcommittee. The Office of the Ombudsman, Program, and Communications staff are working together to finalize the webpage.
Date Last Updated:	2/24/17- 11/13/17

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Quality subcommittee presents recommendations to Full IDD SRAC.	7/28/2016	Completed	
2	Quality subcommittee discussed recommendations with Communications staff.	10/12/2016	Completed	
3	HHSC Program, Communication, and Ombudsman staff met to discuss website options to meet the subcommittees' recommendations while maintaining HHSC branding standards.	12/2016	Completed	
4	HHSC IDD SRAC liaison and Quality subcommittee chair presented identified projects to address subcommittee members' recommendations during the Quality subcommittee meeting.	1/25/17	Completed	

5	HHSC Program, Communication, and Ombudsman	4/4/17	On Target	
	staff will meet and develop a timeline to create an		Completed	
	accessible webpage for individuals and will present			
	the timeline to the subcommittee.			
6	HHSC SRAC liaison will provide updates each		Ongoing	
	meeting and work with the subcommittee to obtain			
	feedback during the webpage design			
7	HHSC will survey STAR+PLUS MCOs to obtain	4/4/17	On Target	
	more information on how they currently address		Completed	
	complaints and if they currently send magnets.		_	
8	Accessible webpage design will be tested by	8/1/17	On Target	The webpage design is not yet complete to begin testing and
	individuals with IDD to ensure it is user friendly.	5/1/2018	Delayed	feedback.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS Department	Status:	Under Consideration: No Action to be Taken:	Number:	3c		
			In Progress: X Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	HHSC should publ	ish data about IDD	consumer experience.				
	HHSC should publish data about IDD consumer experience related to delays or denials of care from lack of network adequacy, not meeting medical necessity criteria, not meeting internal guidelines or benchmarks for use of medications, and lack of prior authorization.						
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:	NI-41 A 1	- / A 4 - C					
Category:	Network Adequacy PACSTX	/ Access to Care					
Provided By: HHSC Response:		as not analyza the m	equested data for the IDD population	anacifically IIIIC:	a continuina to massamah		
	whether changes caexplore ways to level HHSC recognizes to service delivery systems (CAHPS) obtain feedback on IDD population.	an be implemented to rerage the EQRO rep that the first step tow stem. HHSC, throug surveys of Medicaid healthcare. See reco	o obtain and publish the requested deports for inclusion of the requested deports for inclusion	ata information in the ata. a is obtaining member timer Assessment of Hoogram (CHIP) manage essing the applicability	future, as well as feedback on the current ealthcare Providers & ed care members to of this survey to the		
	authorizations, for i	inclusion in the Hou iewed here: https://l	se Bill 3523 Legislative Report submhs.texas.gov/sites/hhs/files//system-	mitted to the legislatur redesign-for-indiv-wi	e in November 2016. th-idd.pdf.		
	HHSC also added questions related to members with IDD to the PCP Referral Study. This study surveys primary care providers about their experiences in referring members for specialist care. HHSC asked providers about whether they se patients with IDD and to describe their experiences in referring members with IDD for specialist care, including behavioral health care.						
	HHSC is also conducting a focus study to look at consumer experiences of care pre and post STAR Kids implementation. This study will select samples and stratify results using the following eligibility categories: Medically Dependent Children Program, DADS IDD Waivers, Supplemental Security Income (SSI) fee-for-service (FFS), and SSI STAR+PLUS. This should allow HHSC to analyze results specific to members with IDD.						

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Date Last Updated: 3/10/2017 11/17/2017

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Research to determine if the EQRO data collection	Spring 2017	Ongoing	HHSC is working with the EQRO to develop cost estimates
	process could specify experiences of individuals		Complete	regarding different options (e.g., surveys, focus groups, etc.).
	with intellectual and developmental disabilities.			As a result of IDD SRAC input in October 2017, EQRO is
				running HEDIS results specifically for individuals with IDD.
				The results are slated to be shared with the committee in
				December. Ongoing work on this topic will be facilitated
				through IDD SRAC.
2	Submit House Bill 3523/ Senate Bill 7 IDD	11/1/2016	Complete	The House Bill 3523/ Senate Bill 7 IDD Legislative Report
	Legislative Report.			was submitted in November 2016. The report can be viewed
				here: https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/hhs/files//system-redesign-for-
				<u>indiv-with-idd.pdf</u> .
3	PCP Referral Study final report.	11/1/2017	Ongoing	In order to improve on the initial low response rate of less
		5/31/2018	On Target	than 12%, additional time is needed. Data collection for Phase
				2 was delayed until August 2017 due to initial low response
				rate of less than 12 percent. Data collection will be complete
				in November with a final report slated for spring 2018. The
				completed report will be shared with IDD SRAC at this time.
				Ongoing work on this topic will be facilitated through IDD
				SRAC.
4	STAR Kids focus study final report.	04/30/2017	Ongoing	Preliminary results from the pre-implementation study were
		5/31/2019	On Target	presented to the STAR Kids Advisory Committee at their
				public meeting on March 1, 2017. The final pre-
				implementation report will be was shared with the committee
				in summer 2017. The final summary report which will include
				post-implementation measure results will be shared with the
				advisory committee in summer 2019. Ongoing work on this
				topic will be obtained through the committee.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	4 / 34d / 51 / 6			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department		In Progress: X					
	•		Complete:					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Increase provider	network non-disc	rimination standards.					
					and access health care in a timely			
		_	el farther than they did prior to M	_	*			
				-	A) proposed guidelines to insurers			
					ar standards in contracts and rules			
			pasis of race, color, national orig	_	•			
					under any health program or activity.			
Additional Stakeholder					l for Developmental Disabilities,			
Background:			Texas on 8/9/2016. These organ					
		vicos know their	role with nome and community	based services (HC	BS) settings standards and person-			
C-4	setting planning.	/ A to Com						
Category:	Network Adequac	•		ammantal Diaghilitia	a/The Ame of Towns			
Provided By:			d, Inc./ Texas Council for Develocated MCOs to comply with state					
HHSC Response:	HISC contractua	ily requires Medic	cald MCOs to comply with state	and rederar anti-dis	crimination laws.			
	Section 7.05 Com	pliance with state	e and federal anti-discriminatio	on laws.				
		-	•					
			te and federal anti-discriminatio		ithout limitation:			
			of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §2000d et se					
	` '		n Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. §794);					
			t of 1990 (42 U.S.C. §12101 <i>et s</i>	seq.);				
			5 (42 U.S.C. §§6101-6107);					
			dments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. §§16	581-1688);				
			.C. §200 et seq.); and					
		ncy's administrati	ve rules, as set forth in the Texas	s Administrative Co	de, to the extent applicable to this			
	Agreement.							
	MCO agrees to comply with all amondments to the above referenced laws and all requirements imposed by the							
		O agrees to comply with all amendments to the above-referenced laws, and all requirements imposed by the						
		regulations issued pursuant to these laws. These laws provide in part that no persons in the United States may, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, political beliefs, or religion, be excluded from participation in						
			other benefits provided by Federa	_	• •			
	discrimination.	care, service of o	the benefits provided by reder	ar or state runding,	or otherwise be subjected to			
	discrimination.							

(b) MCO agrees to comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and its implementing regulations at 45 C.F.R. Part 80 or 7 C.F.R. Part 15, prohibiting a contractor from adopting and implementing policies and procedures that exclude or have the effect of excluding or limiting the participation of clients in its programs, benefits, or activities on the basis of national origin. Applicable state and federal civil rights laws require contractors to provide alternative methods for ensuring access to services for applicants and recipients who cannot express themselves fluently in English. MCO agrees to ensure that its policies do not have the effect of excluding or limiting the participation of persons in its programs, benefits, and activities on the basis of national origin. MCO also agrees to take reasonable steps to provide services and information, both orally and in writing, in appropriate languages other than English, in order to ensure that persons with limited English proficiency are effectively informed and can have meaningful access to programs, benefits, and activities.

New federal Medicaid managed care rules include additional clarification regarding non-discrimination related to members and providers in Medicaid Managed Care. HHSC has analyzed the final rule to determine which additional changes to Managed care contracts or policies are necessary.

With regard to network adequacy, some standards were proposed based on the requirements of SB 760, 84th Legislature, and were effective in March 2017. These updates included new time and distance standards, based on county designation, and requiring MCOs to ensure members have access to two age-appropriate PCPs within specific travel time and mileage thresholds. As part of this revision, HHSC will use data developed by Data Analytics to analyze compliance. While these revisions do not apply to all provider types covered in the new CMS managed care rules, HHSC is currently working to revise network access standards for additional provider types, including LTSS, to ensure full compliance by the September 2018 effective date for the CMS network adequacy regulation.

As required by the new managed care rules, HHSC is updating contracts to explicitly provide that a member may choose his or her network provider to the extent possible and appropriate, effective September 2017. There are additional CMS requirements with which HHSC must comply by September 2018, including having a process for exceptions to the provider-specific (non-LTSS) network standards. While HHSC currently has an exception process in place for network adequacy standards, the agency will also need to start monitoring any exceptions and include findings in the 1115 annual report. The regulations also require states to publish online network adequacy standards and make the information available in alternate formats to members with disabilities at no cost upon request. HHSC is working towards posting these standards online.

In addition, HHSC will continue to meet with stakeholder groups to discuss issues related to shortages of providers accepting certain populations, specifically individuals with IDD, and will coordinate with MCOs to ensure compliance with federal HCBS settings rules.

Date Last Updated:

03/12/2017-11/17/2017

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Finish analysis of new CMS managed care rules effective 2016 and 2017, and determine impact to this issue.	7/31/2017	On Target Completed	 Staff are actively analyzing the regulations and making the required contract and program changes to fully comply with the rule have completed analysis of federal regulations related to discrimination and have determined that UMCC Section 7.05 requires MCO compliance with all state and federal discrimination laws, including without limitation: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §2000d et seq.); Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. §794); Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.); Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. §86101-6107); Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. §81681-1688 regarding education programs and activities; Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. §2011 et. Seq.); and The HHS agency's administrative rules, as set forth in the Texas Administrative Code, to the extent applicable.
2	Contract changes proposed related to member choice of provider.	3/1/2017	Completed	
3	Contract changes effective.	9/1/20178	On Target	HHSC staff have determined that managed care contracts require MCOs to ensure member choice of providers as required by federal law. HHSC will make additional contract amendments as needed to further clarify MCO requirements regarding provider choice.
4	HHSC will ensure MCOs understand their role in regards to compliance with the federal HCBS settings rule.	3/1/2022 and Ongoing	On Target	HHSC is continuing to work with stakeholders concerned with programs serving individuals with IDD as well as MLTSS HCBS services to ensure Texas is in compliance with the federal HCBS rule by March 2022. This work will be

Exec	Executive Commissioner's Commitment to						
Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care							
			ongoing over the next several years as HHSC works with				
			stakeholders to develop a remediation plan, obtain CMS				
		1	approval of that plan, and implement the plan by the deadline				

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	5			
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:					
			In Progress: X					
			Complete:					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Analyze outpatient	and emergency roo	m services use. Perform a comprehe	nsive analysis of Med	licaid outpatient clinic			
	and Emergency Ro	om use by Service I	Delivery Area by MCO.					
	_		caid outpatient and ER services to H					
	The state of the s	• •	group to identify which MCOs in w		_			
		_	s must be performed by age group b					
			of different ages. While 100% complete					
	feasible for the Texas Medicaid population, the standards serve as a widely-used, widely-credible standard for managed							
	care delivery nationwide. The analysis can be completed by measuring the actual number of visits per 1,000 by age							
	group.							
Additional Background:								
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care						
Provided By:	Texas Hospital Ass	sociation (THA)						
HHSC Response:	HHSC currently is	analyzing outpatien	t services and emergency department	nt visits by plans and s	ervice areas; however,			
	this data is not beir	ng compared with th	e HEDIS standard.					
			his recommendation, and obtain add		•			
			s meeting will be scheduled following	ng the legislative sess	ion.			
Date Last Updated:	03/09/2017 11/17/2	017						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Meet with THA, and determine next steps.	8/1/2017	Delayed	
		2/1/2018		

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	6c
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:		
	Department		In Progress: X		
			Complete: Other:		
Recommendation:	Soals foodbook fro	m stalzahaldara a	n utilization management proto	201c	
Recommendation:	Seek reedback in	oni stakenoiders o	ii utilization management proto	COIS.	
	The state has made	le significant strid	les towards a streamlined crede	ntialing process, an	d now requires all MCOs to accept
					HHSC's managed care contracts
					ewing targeted case management
			vice requests (see HHSC's UM		
					be fully-vetted with the Behavioral
		•	nittee (BHIAC) and other intere	sted stakeholders, a	and should promote streamlined
A 1100 100 1 1 1 1	and consistent ap	plication.			
Additional Stakeholder					
Background: Category:	Network Adequa	ey / Access to Car	20		
Provided By:	Texas Council of	•			
HHSC Response:				ealth Rehabilitation	and Mental Health Targeted Case
THIS C RESPONSE.					guidelines As part of this review
					osed changes.as part of the rules
	development prod	cess and the medic	cal benefit policy.		
	HHSC has not ma	ade any modificat	ion to the utilization manageme	ent protocols HHSO	C has published the medical benefit
					ement for public feedback, has
					Texas Medicaid Provider Procedure
					care section of the HHSC Texas
			se benefits <mark>also do not make an</mark>		
					e internal review is complete. The
			f the rules process. HHSC polic		
	_		guidance to MCOs and provide	ers on the administra	ation of these two benefits.
Date Last Updated:	01/10/201711/17/	/201/			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Post medical benefit policies for public comment.	Summer 2016	Completed	
2	Update the Frequently Asked Questions document	Summer 2017	On Target	HHSC staff are reviewing comments provided by the Texas
	that serves as guidance to MCOs and providers on		Ongoing	Council and will continue to work with the council until the
	the administration of these two benefits.			FAQs are updated.
3	Adopt Texas Administrative Code rules.	Fall August	On Target	
		20187		

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	7 / 18-19 / 21		
rigeney/21/18/01/2 epur miene	MCS	Status	No Action to be Taken:	1 (4111001)	,, 10 17, 11		
	Department		In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Streamline MCO	prior authorizatio	n requirements.				
	- Standardization of elements of a "good" physician order" & uniformity in how guidelines are adopted and how requirements are applied for PA. We ask all MCO's follow CMS guidelines for what they will accept as a "good order" based on CMS elements of an order. Also, we ask all of our MCO's follow TMHP guidelines in how PA requirement are applied to PA guidelines. For example, Some require auth for a service while others do not require auth for that same service. Standardization of review amongst MMC plans for PA determination on pediatric —rendered durable medical equipment (DME) services, such as oral supplementation requirements would be very beneficial to the patient. - Authorization requirements that are consistent and align with TMHP requirements. This should not only include the parameters by which they authorize, but also the manner in which it occurs. MCOs are not using the Universal Authorization form with the exception of CHC. They will accept the form, but continue to require their own forms as well. This also applies to TMHP. To further increase consistency of the authorization process providers should be allowed to submit all necessary documents to the MCO directly once the primary care physician (PCP) has ordered and approved services, by signing the plan of care and or the initiation of services by signing the initial order. This would align with TMHP's processes.						
		tuted policy maki			-back from the physicians. Several tion paperwork. This has caused		
	documentation reshould have the a reviewed the plan period of time recording provision. Additions and ards for Medical standards for	quirements should uthority to submit or care and signed puiring reauthorized onally, Texas Specificated	ed all required documents. When ation, it is imperative that the pre- ech-Language-Hearing Associa es transitioning from one deliver	e Texas Medicaid Meetly to the MCO position of second to the MCO position of second to the MCO position (TSHA) supports system to another	Manual. Additionally, providers rovided the ordering physician has rvices is needed for an additional without an interruption of service rts the establishment of care r.		
Additional Stakeholder			ner of 2016 and received addition				
Background:				t relates to prior aut	horization requirements and MCOs.		
Category:	Network Adequae	•					
Provided By:	Texas Rehab Prov	viders Council/Ou	tpatient Independent Rehabilita	ation Association/TS	SHA		

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

HHSC Response:	At this time, HHSC cannot mandate to MCOs which benefits require prior authorization or that MCOs follow the same processes for prior authorization. HHSC will continue to explore other opportunities to help providers better understand MCO processes. HHSC is exploring how best to address the issue related to MCOs not accepting a faxed PA request based on letterhead
	or fax cover page. Currently, there is no law, rule, or contract requirement to prevent MCOs from implementing this type of policy to help control therapy utilization.
	HHSC currently requires MCOs to ensure continuity of care when an individual transitions from FFS or another managed care program into their plan. See Section 8.2.1 of the UMCC.
	Each MCO has medical director and other clinical staff that can discuss specific cases or processes with therapy providers. These staff can be accessed using each MCO's provider relations hotline. HHSC requests therapy providers send requests to hhsc.state.tx.us with an indication of whether a member's access to care is of concern due to a PA request response, or lack thereof. MCOs are required to respond timely to access to care complaints when HHSC makes them aware of such complaints.
	Effective 3/1/2017, MCO websites must allow providers to submit PA requests and include online processes to permit the following: submission of electronic claims and any related documentation requested by the MCO; submission of claims appeals and reconsiderations, and submission of clinical data. The website also must include email addresses for receipt of provider complaints.
Date Last Updated:	03/20/2017 12/8/2017

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Meet with TSHA	8/16/2016	Completed	
2	Research examples of MCO-specific issues	8/31/2016	Completed	
3	Follow up with TSHA about possible solutions for	05/31/2017	On Target	HHSC continues to work with TSHA to develop a possible
	PA fax/letterhead concern	4/1/2018	Delayed	solution to the concerns.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	10 a-b			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department		In Progress: X					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Shorten timeline for physician enrollment and credentialing in Medicaid.							
	Medicaid enrollm of a Texas Provide credentialing process tak HMO patients. So but this is not star process. Once The HMO to allow date regardless of that physicians can	Require Medicaid MCOs to simultaneously process physician credentialing applications while the physician pursues Medicaid enrollment via TMHP. Currently, physicians must submit a Medicaid enrollment application then await receipt of a Texas Provider Identifier (TPI) number(s) before beginning the (health maintenance organization (HMO) credentialing process. TMA and Texas Pediatric Society (TPS) frequently receive complaints from physicians that the entire process takes 6 months or more to become enrolled in Medicaid, credentialed by the HMOs, and then begin seeing HMO patients. Some plans indicate they will initiate the credentialing process while awaiting a physician's TPI number, but this is not standard practice because some HMOs interpret the HHSC-HMO rules to preclude establishing a parallel process. Once TMHP finalizes a physician's Medicaid enrollment, the information should be expeditiously transmitted to the HMO to allow the plan to complete credentialing. Further, HMOs should be required to honor the TMHP effective date regardless of whether the HMO has completed the credentialing process and pay claims retroactive to that date so that physicians can begin seeing patients more quickly. By allowing physicians and other acute care providers to simultaneously pursue Medicaid enrollment and HMO						
Additional Stakeholder		1						
Background: Category:	Network Adequa	ov / Access to C	loro					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS	cy / Access to C	care					
HHSC Response:	HHSC is commit to streamline this reenrollment by r •System updates •The ability to im •An e-sign feature •Instructions on h •Guidance and m	process. Physic educing the nee that make the po- mediately upload that allows phy- low to upload do ore accurate error	tians will notice some of the up-fred for printing and mailing docume ortal compatible with more recent ad supporting documentation; ysicians to sign the enrollment agreements and submit the application messages to avoid application research.	ont changes immedients, like proof of li Internet browsers; reement electronica on using an e-signa mistakes before sub-	lly; ture; and mission.			
	In addition to the above steps, on February 17, 2017 HHSC posted a request for proposals for the procurement Provider Management and Enrollment System to further streamline the enrollment process.							

	On March 23, 2017, the Texas Association of Health Plans (TAHP) in collaboration with the Texas Medical Association (TMA) announced a joint effort to reduce red tape and administrative burdens for physicians and health care providers seeking to participate in the Texas Medicaid program. TAHP and TMA have selected Aperture, LLC, for a statewide Credentialing Verification Organization (CVO) contract used by all 20 Medicaid health plans in Texas to streamline the provider credentialing process.
	Implementing the recommendation to combine the enrollment and credentialing processes would require rule and system changes. HHSC currently provides the MCOs with a Medicaid Provider file every Tuesday that contains a listing of providers enrolled in the Medicaid program. MCOs are currently allowed to begin the credentialing process while providers are in the process of enrolling if they wish to shorten the timeframe. The state is not statutorily allowed to retroactively pay claims for a time period that the provider was not fully enrolled and credentialed. However, HHSC efforts to streamline enrollment through a centralized portal, and TAHP's efforts to streamline credentialing, is expected to significantly shorten the amount of time it takes a provider to become fully enrolled and credentialed.
	Remaining activities are related to the RFP that is also reported on in item 12, so future updates to these action items will be reported in item 12.
Date Last Updated:	5/2/2017- 12/4/2017

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	-	Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	HHSC and TAHP finalize approach and	To be determined		
	credentialing vendor's data requirements. HHSC	(TBD)		
	will work with vendor to identify all data that			
	should be transmitted from TMHP to the			
	credentialing vendor.			
2	Complete operational and technical changes to	TBD		
	operationalize data exchange between TMHP and			
	credentialing vendor			
3	Provider Management and Enrollment System	2/17/2017	Completed	
	Request for Proposal Released (RFP)		_	
4	(RFP) Vendor Conference	3/1/2017	Completed	
5	(RFP) Proposal Response Phase	5/24/2017		
6	(RFP) Evaluation Phase	10/27/2017		
7	(RFP) Field of Competition Approved	11/10/2017		
8	(RFP) Recommended Vendor Approved	3/8/2018		
9	(RFP) Contract Awarded	12/31/2018		

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	11a	
ingeneg/21/15/01/2 open om one	MCS Department	2000000	No Action to be Taken:	1 (422270 02 0		
	1		In Progress: X			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Simplify and stream	nline method for p	hysicians and prescribers to access p	rior authorization requ	irements in VDP.	
	Simplify and streamline the Medicaid VDP, which is inordinately complex given that the management of the prescription drug benefit is split between HHSC and the MCOs. It is much too cumbersome for prescribers to determine which drugs or drug classes are subject to additional clinical edits and if there is an edit, which plans also have adopted it. Physicians should have a single location to look up this information rather having to go to each PBMs website to figure it out. Within each drug class on the PDL, include a hotlink so that when a physician views the PDL he/she can immediately determine if there are any associated clinical edit(s) for the entire class of drugs or a particular drug within the class. The link should take the physician to each clinical edit and also name each individual HMO that also has opted to					
			r a less stringent version. Currently, pre adopted particular clinical edits.	•		
Additional Stakeholder Background:						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	TMA / TPS					
HHSC Response:	After further discus	ssion with TMA/T	PS VDP envisions the following:			
	 Phase I includes the creation and ongoing maintenance of the "Pharmacy Clinical Prior Authorization Assistance Chart". HHSC will modify the UMCM to add MCO reporting requirements to identify their implemented clinical criteria to support an ongoing, updated chart. Phase II includes the addition of clinical PA information to the PDL. Any single drug on the PDF that has clinical criteria would have a link to the criteria/requirements. HHSC will contact its PDL vendor to request a change that adds Clinical PA information. This will include an estimate of any potential costs and a timeline for implementation. 					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 10/31/201	7				

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Major Milestones with Status Updates: (Add additional lines as needed to detail each major milestone. Milestones do not need to be completed sequentially.)

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Submit proposed UMCM changes for quarterly reports from MCOs.	6/30/2016	Completed	
2	Develop "Pharmacy Clinical Prior Authorization Assistance Chart" sample, and share with TMA and TPS for feedback.	9/1/2016	Completed	
3	Meet with TMA and TPS to obtain feedback on responses.	9/1/2016	Completed	TMA and TPS did not have changes, and there was agreement that this was useful as a first step in this process.
4	Add Pharmacy Clinical Prior Authorization Assistance chart to VDP website.	9/1/2016	Completed	
5	Develop processes to consolidate quarterly MCO reports into a single document.	9/15/2016	Completed	
6	Review options to update or replace the existing "Texas Medicaid Pharmacy Prior Authorization" video to include better clinical prior authorization information.	9/30/2016	Completed	
7	Review and correct MCO first quarterly report.	10/10/2016	Completed	
8	Compile and post first MCO quarterly report.	10/15/2016	Completed	
9	Obtain examples from other states of PDL document.	11/1/2016	Completed	
10	Obtain feedback from TMA and TPS on the examples from other states.	11/15/2016	Completed	
11	Research into options of working with an existing vendor to implement changes.	11/15/2016	Completed	
12	Meet with TMA and TPS to discuss timelines.	11/15/2016	Completed	
13	Work with PDL contractor to develop timeline for site revisions.	11/30/2016	Completed	
14	Begin quarterly MCO Clinical PA reporting process.	11/30/2016	Completed	
15	Replace "Texas Medicaid Pharmacy Prior Authorization" video on the vendor drug website with one-page document explaining the process as an interim step until video can be updated. Incorporate Clinical PA links into PDL document.	12/15/2016 2/1/2017	Completed	
10	incorporate Chinical PA links into PDL document.	2/1/201/	Completed	

	0			
17	Work with TMA and TPS to obtain feedback from	3/1/2017	Completed	
	providers and administrators to test the revised			
	tutorial (to replace the previous video).			
18	Work with TMA and TPS to identify providers and	3/17/2017	On Target	
	administrators to test the revised PDL document		Completed	
	prior to full launch.			
19	Work with THSteps to update and revise tutorial to	3/15/2017	On Target	Delayed by two weeks.
	include clinical prior authorizations in the		Completed	
	explanation of the drug authorization process.			
20	Share draft document with TMA/TPS for feedback	5/17/2017	On Target	
	from the associations and a sampling of providers.		Completed	
	This will be the draft revision of the PDL document			
	incorporating links to clinical prior authorization			
	criteria.			
21	Fully launch revised PDL document incorporating	6 8/1/2017	On Target	Clinically-enhanced PDL posted to VDP website.
	links to clinical prior authorization criteria.		Completed	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	12
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:		
			In Progress: X		
			Complete:		
			Other:		
Recommendation:	Eliminate use of TI	PI and only use the l	NPI number.		
	TCI 1 11			1 1.1 TDI	1 1 .1
			cient and confusing. Many physicia		
			ipate in multiple Medicaid programs		
			's NPI number for enrollment and c		er than multiple
Additional Stakeholder	Wiedicald 1P1 hum	bers will streamline	both processes for physicians and the	ne state.	
Background:					
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care			
Provided By:	TMA / TPS				
HHSC Response:	Due to the legacy s	ystems supporting F	Fee for Service processing in both A	cute and Long Term S	Services and Supports,
			the use of State Identifiers for prov		
			Os and Providers conducting busine		
			he submission of claims. The TPI is		stablishing enrollment
	with HHSC for the	Medicaid program	but is not utilized for claims process	sing.	
	It is the intent of H	HSC to implement of	changes that will continue to expand	I the use of NPI and A	PI values while
			et IDs. These actions will take time		
			service delivery models. Initial wor		
		•	This will take place across multiple		•
			elated to impacted procurements wi		
	-	opriate, and reported		C	•
Date Last Updated:	05/01/2017- 12/4/20)17			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Draft and publish request for proposal (RFP) for	2/17/2017	Complete	
	Provider Management and Enrollment system.			
2	(RFP) Vendor Conference	3/1/2017	Complete	
3	(RFP) Proposal Response Phase	5/24/2017	Complete	
4	(RFP) Evaluation Phase	10/27/2017	Complete	
5	(RFP) Field of Competition Approved	11/10/2017	Complete	
6	(RFP) Recommended Vendor Approved	3/8/2018	On Target	
7	(RFP) Contract Awarded	12/31/2018	On Target	
8	Vendor Transition	1/17/2019	On Target	
9	Stakeholder evaluation of vendor deliverables		On Target	
10	PMES Testing	9/1/2019	On Target	
11	PMES Implementation (TPI no longer used - system	1/31/2020	On Target	
	live)			
12	Vendor Operations of PMES	2/1/2020	On Target	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS Department	Status:	Under Consideration: No Action to be Taken: In Progress: X	Number:	13 / 41			
			Complete: Other:					
Recommendation:	Eliminate recoupme	nate recoupments when a patient is erroneously enrolled in a plan.						
	Abide by Texas insurance requirements establishing that coordination of benefits is an insurance function, thus eliminating the need for costly Medicaid recoupments from providers when a Medicaid health plan discovers a patient was erroneously enrolled in the plan. Medicaid MCOs frequently recoup payments from providers as much as two years after a service was provided. The recoupments are triggered by various reasons, such as after the MCO is informed the patient was retroactively enrolled in Medicaid FFS or was mistakenly enrolled in two MCOs simultaneously. While the provider can subsequently bill Medicaid fee for service or the correct MCO for services, this process is time consuming and expensive for the practice. Since the patient did not lose Medicaid eligibility, the recoupment should be managed among the payers, which is how commercial carriers manage these types of recoupments. Additionally, we have received an increase in calls from providers reporting Medicaid is recouping payments when it identifies another insurer as the responsible party, such as an auto or home insurer. The recoupments often occur months to years after the service was provided and the family no longer carries insurance with that carrier, thus making it difficult							
		s provided the service	types of recoupments also should be ce in good faith and made reasonable					
Additional Stakeholder Background:			s noted that this issue is also related t	to homeowner and aut	o insurance claims.			
Category:	Network Adequacy							
Provided By:	TMA / TPS /Coalit							
HHSC Response:	HHSC established a Provider Recoupment Workgroup to research recoupment issues and identify potential systems changes with the goal of reducing the number of recoupments. In April 2017, HHSC is scheduled to implemented additional information on the 834 Enrollment File and associated Capitation files to inform the MCOs of gaining and losing members and also reflecting the gaining and losing MCO. In Spring 2017, HHSC will also be moveding this item into the Eligibility and Enrollment Workgroup with the MCOs continue to evaluate cases to determine if a systemic issue still exists. Program Enrollment and Support, Medicaid CH Services has been working with Access and Eligibility Services over the past few months to identify agency issues that							

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	contribute to provider recoupments and are identifying possible HHSC system solutions to address agency concerns. At this time we don't have specific examples to extend the scope to include Third Party Liability division to help analyze
	related recoupments associated with home owner and auto insurance claims.
Date Last Updated:	03/21/2017 11/02/17

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Provider Recoupment ongoing agenda item added	3/2017- 6/2018	On Target	The Eligibility and Enrollment Workgroup will coordinate
	to the Eligibility and Enrollment Workgroup		Ongoing	with HHSC Access and Eligibility Services and the MCOs to
				evaluate member examples to determine the validity of the
				recoupment.
2	Add values to current interfaces to provide	4/2017	On Target	Work continues to update current interfaces with additional
	additional member information to MCOs.		Complete	member information. Timelines as of March 20, 2017
				estimate this work will be completed by April 2017. The delay
				is due to additional HHSC technology requirements to
				implement STAR Kids on November 1, 2016.

improving Member and Tre					T	
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC FSD /	Status:	Under Consideration: X	Number:	14	
	MSS MCS		No Action to be Taken:			
	Department		In Progress:			
			Complete:			
			Other: X			
			This recommendation is			
			addressed through an existing			
			process. See details below.			
Recommendation:	Implement a provider type and specialty code for urgent care.					
	Many PCPs cover urgent care centers in addition to operating their own practices. Without a separate provider type, it					
		PCP assignments an	d makes it difficult to differentiate p	hysician after-hours cl	linics from other	
	facilities.					
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Network adequacy / access to care					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS					
HHSC Response:	HHSC is considering ways to alleviate this concern. MCOs might consider using an add-on billing code rather than a					
	different provider type. Update to be provided on future posting. The Legislative Budget Board published a staff report on					
	_		ers and HHSC monitored to see if the	ere would be legislativ	e direction around this	
	item. There was not legislative direction to add this new benefit.					
	HHSC has an existing process for reviewing proposals for new or changes to existing Medicaid medical benefits.					
	Stakeholders can submit a topic nomination form with evidence to support their request. Information about how to submit					
	a topic nomination form can be found on the HHSC webpage: https://hhs.texas.gov/services/health/medicaid-chip/about-					
	medicaid-chip/medicaid-medical-dental-policies					
	Once a topic nomination form is submitted, HHSC staff will research the request and present to a governance committee					
	for review. The governance committee determines whether the proposal should be further reviewed to determine if it will					
	become a Medicaid benefit. A fiscal estimate will need to be completed before a decision can be made to incorporate the					
	proposal as a Medicaid benefit. If the fiscal estimate exceeds \$500,000, the Legislative Budget Board will have to					
	approve the funding associated with the policy proposal.					
	Timeline is dependent upon prioritization within the medical policy review process.					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 12/12/201	7				

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Review issue and determine next steps.	9/1/2017	Delayed	Delayed pending outcome of legislative session. Legislative
		3/1/2018	Completed	Budget Board published the staff report on increasing access
				to urgent care providers in Medicaid. There was no legislative
				direction around this item. It was determined that this
				suggestion would need to be submitted through the Medicaid
				medical benefits process to be considered.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

1 8								
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration: X	Number:	15			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken: X					
	Department		In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Add a feature to the	he TMHP and MC	CO fee schedules or policy manu	als to determine any	y place of service or diagnosis			
	restrictions (e.g., v	whether procedure	e can only be performed on an in	n-patient).				
	Having a single pl	abide by Medicaid utilization						
	restrictions, which often vary from other payers.							
Additional Stakeholder	The state of the s							
Background:								
Category:	Network Adequac	cy / Access to Car	e					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS							
HHSC Response:					oking up FFS and MCO benefits			
	and claims submis	ssions. TAHP is v	orking on a website that will se	rve as a resource fo	r providers on information related to			
	MCO requirement	ts. HHSC will wo	rk with TAHP to determine if ac	lding the place of se	ervice and diagnosis restrictions			
	would be feasible	. Related to FFS, t	this will be discussed with TMH	P to determine option	ons.			
	MCOs are required to disclose payment methodologies and fee schedules with contracted providers. Because MCOs may							
	negotiate different rates with providers there is no standard fee schedule for each MCO. MCOs are required to post							
	provider handbooks on their websites. For fee-for-service, providers can review the Texas Medicaid Provider Procedures							
			formation on covered benefit. The	he FFS schedule is a	also available online at			
	http://public.tmhp		<u>es/</u> .					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 12/12/20	17						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Research options.	9/30/2016	0 0	
2	Determine feasibility.	11/15/2016	Completed	
3	Discuss options with TAHP and TMHP.	9/1/2017	On Target	It was determined that this recommendation would not be
	-		Completed	feasible.
4	Notify stakeholders of feasibility.	12/1/2017	Delayed	
			Completed	

MCS Department No Action to be Taken: In Progress: X Complete: Other: Promote adoption of innovative Medicaid delivery models, such as physician-led accountable care organizations or patient-centered medical homes, as well as value based purchasing initiatives, such as gain sharing, to reward physicians for improving Medicaid quality and reducing costs. At the recent Texas Medicaid Congress facilitated by TMA, several physicians noted they were interested in partnering with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Provided By: TMA / TPS HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such value-based contracting arrangements. HHSC has observed MCOs often tend to adopt HHSC's Pay-for-Quality Program	Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	23				
Department	Agency/Division/Department:		Status:		Number:	23				
Recommendation: Promote adoption of innovative Medicaid delivery models, such as physician-led accountable care organizations or patient-centered medical homes, as well as value based purchasing initiatives, such as gain sharing, to reward physicians for improving Medicaid quality and reducing costs. At the recent Texas Medicaid Congress facilitated by TMA, several physicians noted they were interested in partnering with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such										
Recommendation: Promote adoption of innovative Medicaid delivery models, such as physician-led accountable care organizations or patient-centered medical homes, as well as value based purchasing initiatives, such as gain sharing, to reward physicians for improving Medicaid quality and reducing costs. At the recent Texas Medicaid Congress facilitated by TMA, several physicians noted they were interested in partnering with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such		Department		_						
Promote adoption of innovative Medicaid delivery models, such as physician-led accountable care organizations or patient-centered medical homes, as well as value based purchasing initiatives, such as gain sharing, to reward physicians for improving Medicaid quality and reducing costs. At the recent Texas Medicaid Congress facilitated by TMA, several physicians noted they were interested in partnering with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such										
patient-centered medical homes, as well as value based purchasing initiatives, such as gain sharing, to reward physicians for improving Medicaid quality and reducing costs. At the recent Texas Medicaid Congress facilitated by TMA, several physicians noted they were interested in partnering with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such	Recommendation:	Promote adoption	of innovative Me		nhysician-led accou	intable care organizations or				
for improving Medicaid quality and reducing costs. At the recent Texas Medicaid Congress facilitated by TMA, several physicians noted they were interested in partnering with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such	Recommendation.									
At the recent Texas Medicaid Congress facilitated by TMA, several physicians noted they were interested in partnering with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such		-								
with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such		for improving ivie	areara quarity and	reducing costs.						
with health plans to test new models of care, but either had no interest from the MCO(s) in their region or were unsure how to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such		At the recent Texa	as Medicaid Cong	ress facilitated by TMA, several	physicians noted th	ev were interested in partnering				
to initiate the discussion. HHSC should facilitate efforts by physicians and MCOs to test new delivery system and payment models. Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: TMA / TPS HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such										
Additional Stakeholder Background: Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms Provided By: HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such										
Background:Category:Alternative Payment MechanismsProvided By:TMA / TPSHHSC Response:For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such				3 1 3		3 3 1 3				
Category: Alternative Payment Mechanisms TMA / TPS HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such	Additional Stakeholder									
Provided By: HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such	Background:									
HHSC Response: For the past three fiscal years HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such			ent Mechanisms							
value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such	Provided By:									
initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such	HHSC Response:									
where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such										
and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables, and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such										
HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such										
Lyalue-based contracting arrangements. HHSC has observed MCOs often tend to adopt HHSC's Pay-for-Quality Program										
					often tend to adopt l	HHSC's Pay-for-Quality Program				
measures for their value-based contracting with providers.		measures for their	value-based cont	racting with providers.						
IUICC is continuing to work with the MCOs to an enumer the use of value hased much seing with moviders and has		IIIICC is soutionin	4.0	as MCOs to an assuma as the way	funda haad maal	asing with answidens and has				
HHSC is continuing to work with the MCOs to encourage the use of value-based purchasing with providers and has changed the MCO contracts for 9/1/17. These contract changes are described in response to item 22.										
changed the WCO contracts for 9/1/17. These contract changes are described in response to item 22.		changed the MCO	Contracts for 9/1	717. These contract changes are	described in respon	se to item 22.				
The deliverable associated with the contract provision (MCO submitted tracking tool and narrative description of their		The deliverable as	sociated with the	contract provision (MCO submi	itted tracking tool at	nd narrative description of their				
payment models) has been modified to help ensure accurate data collection. This will further enable HHSC to track MCO										
progress in this area.				a to hop ensure accurate data co	110010111 11110 11111 11					
		r -8 m mms ur	ogiess in this area.							
The value based purchasing (VBP) summary document for 2015 is posted on the VBP webpage:		The value based p	value based purchasing (VBP) summary document for 2015 is posted on the VBP webpage:							
https://hhs.texas.gov/about-hhs/process-improvement/medicaid-and-chip-quality-and-efficiency-improvement/value-										
<u>based-payments</u> .										

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

HHSC met with representatives from TMA and other providers regarding their interest in entering into value-based contracting relationships with MCOs for Medicaid and CHIP services. To help ensure that value-based contracting is occurring where feasible, HHSC will create and send out a broadcast communication to stakeholders regarding HHSC's support and direction of value-based contracting. This communication will include a dedicated email for inquiries from stakeholders. If inquiries related to unresponsiveness come in through the email, HHSC will reach out to the appropriate parties to help connect individual MCOs with interested providers. HHSC is also exploring data that could be added to the "data and reports" subpage of the quality website (https://hhs.texas.gov/about-hhs/process-improvement/medicaid-and-chip-quality-and-efficiency-improvement/data-and-reports) to assist providers in understanding where opportunities may exist in terms of quality improvement.

As described in response to recommendation 22, HHSC is exploring more effective ways to recognize medical costs when setting MCO rates. This is an activity driven in part by CMS policy changes on what counts as administrative vs. medical costs. HHSC has established a Quality Improvement Cost Allocation workgroup, which is working on a two-year project with Medicaid and CHIP MCOs to integrate the new CMS guidance. This effort could support greater payment innovation by MCOs and healthcare providers. MCO contracts have been amended for FY 2017 to allow quality improvement costs to be recorded as medical expense.

HHSC received funding through CMS and SAMHSA for a planning grant to establish a certification process for integrated care clinics (mental health, substance use disorder, and limited primary care), and develop a prospective payment model (e.g. bundled payment) to support innovative and effective service provision. HHSC did not receive the planning grant. However, HHSC is exploring ways to leverage the processes and framework developed under the planning grant to potentially pilot innovative and effective care and payment models (i.e. alternative payment model for integrated care (mental health, substance use disorder and primary care services), certification process for integrated care clinics, and use of measures and incentives to promote effective integrated care)

On August 30, 2016, HHSC hosted the DSRIP statewide learning collaborative. A major theme of this learning collaborative was value-based contracting. HHSC facilitated a panel discussion on value-based contracting. One of the desired outcomes of this meeting was to communicate the types of information MCOs need to receive in evaluating their willingness to consider value-based contracting. This should be helpful for providers in making future proposals to MCOs.

HHSC is also developeding a value based purchasing roadmap, which will organize all value based purchasing efforts into one document. This document is posted on HHSC's website: https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/default/files//documents/about-hhs/process-improvement/quality-efficiency-improvement/draft-texas-vbp-apm-roadmap-august-2017.pdf

Date Last Updated:

03/13/2017-11/6/2017

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Develop new tracking tool (for MCO annual submissions).	7/31/2016	Completed	
2	Submit new tracking tool through internal channels for distribution to MCOs.	7/31/2016	Completed	
3	Additional data to website (if determined to be useful).	7/31/2016	Completed	
4	Communication to stakeholder (to include link to data on quality webpage and dedicated email box).	7/31/2016	Completed	
5	MCO submit data via new tool.	11/30/2016	Completed	
6	Identify and evaluate VBP models for cost and quality outcomes.	Summer Fall 2017 5/1/2018	In Progress	 HHSC is in the process of identifying MCO payment models for evaluation. This is underway and HHSC will be working with the EQRO on this process. HHSC has engaged University of Texas-Dell Medical School (with funding by Episcopal Health Foundation) to assist HHSC with the activities listed below. Review care delivery and evaluation experiences in other states to inform Texas efforts Focused analysis of HHSC data to inform and provide a baseline for reform initiatives. Analysis would confirm areas of greatest opportunity for improvement through value-based care reforms Organize and moderate a symposium with key stakeholders to review initial findings and develop possible next steps to strengthen the Texas Medicaid program Propose alternative care/payment models and tools to support program improvement for HHSC consideration

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC	Status:	s: Under Consideration: Number: 2		24	
rigency/Division/Department.	Ombudsman	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	r (umber)	2.	
			In Progress: X			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Improve consumer	protections, assistar	nce and ombudsman services.			
	SB760 includes im	provements though	short of what was originally envision	ned including more in	-nerson services	
			solidation with DADS and the DADS			
		reimbursable expen		r F8		
Additional Stakeholder		•				
Background:						
Category:	Service Coordination	on / Member Assista	ance			
Provided By:	Coalition of Texans					
HHSC Response:			receive the services they need and w			
	consolidation with	DADS and the DAI	OS Ombudsman program, as well as	other options to serve t	this population.	
			Assistance Team is available to assis			
			tate Long-Term Care Ombudsman is			
			e Health and Human Services (HHS) nbudsman will be administratively at			
			Tice of the State Long-term Care Omb			
	Ombudsman on Se		ice of the State Long-term Care Offic	budshian became part (of the first office of the	
	SR 760 84th Legis	lature Regular Sess	sion, 2015, directs the HHS Office of	the Ombudsman to co	ordinate a network of	
			tion services to Medicaid managed ca		ordinate a network or	
			l eight 11 meetings of the "Managed			
			ligibility, enrollment, and operations,			
			ve Services, Aging and Disability Re			
	enrollment broker (MAXIMUS), and other representatives who interact regularly with consumers and families.					
	Meetings include discussions to determine how to improve consumer protections and ombudsman services as well as how					
	to enhance communication and collaboration among HHS entities that work with or are impacted by managed care.					
		veral participating organizations give presentations to the network to provide members with a better understanding of e work and challenges involved in supporting the delivery of Medicaid managed care services. The network established				
			with Social Security Administration			
	the last year over tr	i e eignt month cour t	se of meetings include: DMOs not ac	cessing the authorized	representative	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	<u> </u>	
		information for their members, organizations obtaining client eligibility information or enhancements to what they
		already receive, clients losing waiver services when transitioning from nursing facilities to the community, and children's
		files coming from SSA with no address or authorized representative, bills passed in the 85th Texas Legislative Session
		impacting Medicaid and CHIP clients, expansion of MBCC and Adoption Assistance into Managed Care, and access to
		care issues as the result of Hurricane Harvey. Participating organizations benefited from the increase in collaboration and
		communication among members, especially when reaching out for assistance in resolving managed care client issues.
D	ate Last Updated:	03/07/2016 11/17/2017

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Host first meeting of Managed Care Support	5/19/16	Completed	
	Network authorized by SB 760 SECTION 3			
	(including the Long-term Care Ombudsman, 17			
	other HHS offices and three other state agencies).			
2	Second meeting of the Network.	6/16/16	Completed	
3	Outreach meetings with community organizations	Ongoing	Ongoing	
	assisting Medicaid managed care clients.			
4	Hosted third meeting of the Managed Care Support	7/21/16	Completed	
	Network		_	
5	Hosted fourth meeting of the Managed Care	8/25/16	Completed	
	Support Network		_	
6	Continued to host monthly meetings of the		Completed	The network has been established and continues to meet on a
	Managed Care Support Network		_	regular basis.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	25 / 34c / 67				
	Department		No Action to be Taken:						
			In Progress: X						
			Complete: X						
			Other:						
Recommendation:	Expand home-based care for ventilator-dependent consumers.								
	People with ventila	People with ventilators are at elevated risk for institutionalization. A potential pilot—designed by a person with vent							
	assistance—can im	prove cost-effective	independent living.		•				
Additional Stakeholder	This recommendati	on was discussed in	a meeting with EveryChild, Inc., Te	xas Council for Devel	opmental Disabilities,				
Background:	Arc of Texas, and I	Disability Rights Tex	xas on 8/9/2016. The recommendation	on was further explaine	ed to include the				
_	following recomme			-					
	Address dia	ect care staff trainir	ng needs related to the care of clinica	lly complex and venti	lator dependent				
	individuals				•				
	Request rev	visions to the state p	lan to allow access to in-home respir	atory therapy services	J.				
	_		n the home-based care for ventilator-						
Category:	Network Adequacy								
Provided By:	Coalition of Texans								
			velopmental Disabilities/Arc of Texa	ns/Disability Rights Te	exas				
HHSC Response:			luals with ventilators are able to rem						
	to transition to the	_		J	J				
	On 2/23/2016, HHS	SC convened a venti	lator services workgroup of stakehol	ders, agency staff, and	l MCOs to explore				
			viduals with ventilators receiving M						
			ation. The workgroup will collaborat						
			ne community, finding community p						
			sed members and educating these pro						
	these specialized se	rvices.							
	On 3/21/2016, HHS	SC and DADS staff	met internally to discuss and review	materials submitted by	y community advocates				
	after the 2/23/16 m			•	, ,				
	On 4/18/2016, HHSC held a meeting with MCO workgroup participants to get feedback on the proposal submitted by								
	stakeholders, give an update on the status of transitioning nursing facility residents into the community, and request								
	MCOs send relevant current policies and procedures to HHSC.								
	In May 2016, HHSC Utilization Review nurses began a targeted review of service plans and service provision for								
	ventilator depender	t residents residing	in the community.	_					

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	In June 2016, HHSC Utilization Review completed the targeted review of ventilator-dependent individuals and provided findings to each of the MCOs. On July 14, 2016, HHSC reconvened the interdisciplinary ventilator workgroup comprised of external stakeholders, state staff, and the managed care organizations (MCO). The MCOs reviewed portions of a combined ventilator PowerPoint presentation that provided high-level details on their processes for managing clinically complex individuals. On August 5, 2016, HHSC met with the Texas Association for Home Care and Hospice to discuss transitioning ventilator-dependent individuals to the community.
	On October 13, 2016 the MCOs provided an update on all ventilator-dependent members who transitioned from the nursing facility to the community in SFY 16.
	HHSC will continue to explore opportunities for improving and enhancing care for ventilator-dependent members.
Date Last Updated:	03/12/2017-11/17/2017

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Initial meeting of Ventilator Services Workgroup,	2/23/16	Completed	
	(includes agency staff, MCOs, and external			
	stakeholders).			
2	Internal agency workgroup meeting.	3/21/16	Completed	
3	Meeting with MCO Service Coordinators.	4/18/16	Completed	
4	Conference call with MCO Service Coordinators.	6/15/16	Completed	
5	Meeting with Ventilator Services Workgroup.	7/14/16	Completed	
6	Follow-Up conference call with MCOs.	9/19/16	Completed	
7	Quarterly Ventilator Services Workgroup.	10/13/16	Completed	
8	MCOs to provide a presentation on ventilator	1/19/2017	Postponed/	The meeting exceeded the scheduled time and concluded prior
	services to the Promoting Independence Advisory		Completed	to the MCOs presentation.
	Council (PIAC).		_	
9	Meeting with the Coalition of Texans with	12/7/2016	Completed	
	Disabilities and staffer from Rep. C. Turner's office			

<u></u> P-	oving wiemser und 110 vider Emperience	in inconcent in	magea car	. •
10	MCO Service Coordinator Quarterly Report of	1/13/2017	Cancelled	Stakeholders agreed to receive updated ventilator information
	ventilator-dependent nursing facility members			during the PIAC meeting scheduled Jan 19.
11	MCO Service Coordinator Quarterly Report of	1/13/2017	Completed	
	ventilator-dependent nursing facility members			
12	A copy of the MCO Ventilator Care Services PPT	1/1/2017	Completed	
	provided to the PIAC Stakeholders			
13	Update to PIAC on the number of STAR+PLUS	1/19/2017	Completed	
	and nursing facility ventilator dependent members.			
14	Meeting with Tennessee's TennCare Program	2/27/2017	Completed	
	Representatives			
15	Review of the STAR+PLUS MCOs managing	3/31/2017	On Target	
	complex medical needs hospital transition team		Completed	
	policies.			
16	Quarterly Ventilator Services Workgroup	4/18/2017	On Target	No stakeholder updates; meeting cancelled
			Cancelled	
17	Update to PIAC on the number of STAR+PLUS			
	community and nursing facility ventilator			
	dependent members.	4/25/2017	On Target	
			Completed	
19	MCOs to provide updates on all NF ventilator	7/17/2017	On Target	
	dependent members.		Completed	

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	32 a-f / 35 / 73		
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken: In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Improve the provision of durable medical equipment to individuals receiving Medicaid services through a Managed Care Organization.						
	1) Require that assessments are done within a specified period of time. 2) Require the delivery of DME within a specified period of time. 3) Require the MCO contract with DME companies that can provide loaner or rental equipment to individuals while they transition from facility based care or while they are waiting on their equipment to be delivered. 4) Require expedited appeals of DME denials. 5) Allow for consumers to request and be granted single case agreements for DME when the company they have established a trusted relationship with is not within network. 6) Coordinate a process to review and address system inconsistencies in how MCOs are providing and denying DME. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to: Not all MCOs are providing the same scope of DME as that available to FFS clients. Not all MCOs are applying the medical necessity standard for DME established in Medicaid policy. Not all MCOs are informing beneficiaries of the opportunity to request an exceptional circumstances appeal for items of DME not otherwise listed in agency rule. Some MCOs are applying Medicare criteria instead of Texas Medicaid standards for certain DME requests. Some MCOs are denying DME requests based upon "bundling" and "coding" issues. These are not matters that a beneficiary can address in a fair hearing to challenge the denial. Some MCOs are requiring individuals to change the specific items requested in order to secure an approval. Some MCOs are requiring individuals to change DME providers even when their chosen provider is in network. Denial notices that are not legally sufficient, for example: Providing a list of medical necessity criteria without specifying which ones apply in a particular case. Simply informing the beneficiary that the requested DME item is "not part of your health plan." Denying an item of DME without identifying the rule or policy that supports the denial. Telling the						
Additional Stakeholder			ian about the denial. a meeting with EveryChild, In	nc., Texas Co	ouncil for Developmental		
Background:	Disabilities, Arc of	Texas, and Disabili	ty Rights Texas on 8/9/2016.	The represen	tatives provided feedback that this		
	HHSC response did not fully address the recommendations, and the following additional information was added for consideration: • There is a concern that individuals are not receiving equipment that is authorized.						
		concern when leaving		in that is adi	nonzea.		
				authorizatio	on and provision of an item.		
	 Consider reviewing trends and data regarding delays between authorization and provision of an item. Recommend a thorough review of the inconsistencies among MCOs, not based solely on complaints but 						
		to claims analysis.	•				
	Consider a	secret shopper appr	oach.		Page 46		

inproving Member and 110v	Support for the release managed Care
	Support for the role of managed care UR area's review of DME service provision in STAR+PLUS HCBS
	waiver program.
	• Concern about MCOs using state-supported living centers (SSLCs) for wheeled mobility vendors. There is a
	need to compare between providers in the community and SSLC providers and to establish parameters around
G .	that mode of purchase including consumer consent around procuring wheelchair from SSLC.
Category:	Benefits
Provided By:	Disability Rights Texas/Every Child, Inc./Texas Council for Developmental Disabilities/The Arc of Texas
HHSC Response:	HHSC is committed to improving processes to address concerns regarding the provision of medically-necessary DME through Medicaid MCOs. An internal meeting was convened to discuss these concerns and to identify next steps.
	HHSC will include DME/Adaptive Aid components in the FY 2017 STAR+PLUS HCBS utilization reviews. As a result, additional data regarding HCBS will be produced and evaluated for potential modifications to MCO requirements. Effective 3/1/2017 the UMCC and UMCM have been revised to require MCOs to provide quarterly data regarding members enrolled in STAR+PLUS, STAR Health and STAR Kids whose items or services have been reduced, denied, or terminated.
	An additional step that HHSC will undertake is to review options to improve training for both providers and MCOs. It is critical that providers and MCO staff have a thorough understanding of the Medicaid DME benefits and the related processes for approval and provision of the benefits.
	Effective 2017, MCO websites must allow providers to submit PA requests and include online processes to permit the following: submission of electronic claims and any related documentation requested by the MCO; submission of claims appeals and reconsiderations, and submission of clinical data. The website also must include email addresses for receipt of provider complaints. Provider directories must include an explanation of referral processes to providers such as OB/GYNs, behavioral health, and family planning.
	MCOs are required to assess members within the timeframes outlined in their contract. HHSC will review these timelines to ensure they are reasonable and will continue to monitor MCOs to ensure the assessments are happening in a timely manner.
	A report analyzing closed DME complaints was prepared for Health Plan Management (HPM) review to enable trending and analysis regarding specific MCOs that receive the most complaints as well as the reasons for the complaints. In addition, HHSC is requesting specific examples from DME providers to determine which barriers providers are experiencing. These issues will be researched by HHSC and discussed with the MCOs.
	HHSC is also working to address issues related to the content and specificity of MCO denial notices including addressing a member's right to appeal and providing information about the appeal and fair hearing process to

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

1 0						
	accompany the denial notice. HHSC is also committed to including an opportunity for stakeholder comment prior to					
	adding the requirement to MCO contracts and manuals.					
	adding the requirement to Meo contracts and mandais.					
	Stakeholders are requested to submit complaints and examples of untimely assessments to the HHSC Ombudsman					
	(clients) or HHSC HPM (members and providers):					
	(chemis) of Thise in in (members and providers).					
	HHSC Ombudsman Phone: 1-866-566-8989					
	HHSC Ombudsman Online: https://hhs.texas.gov/ombudsman					
	HHSC HPM Email: HPM_complaints@hhsc.state.tx.us or STAR.Health@hhsc.state.tx.us (for complaints specific to					
	the STAR Health program)					
	In response to stakeholder request for information about use of SSLCs for wheeled mobility vendors:					
	HHSC does not have approval at this time from CMS for SSLCs to provide services to the community.					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 11/17/2017					
Date Dasi Opuateu.	5/7/201711/11/2017					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	HHSC will convene an internal workgroup to brainstorm actions that can be taken to address the requestors concerns not already addressed in the response.	8/31/2016	Completed	
2	Host webinar for MCOs regarding medical policy for mobility aids.	10/30/2016	Completed	
3	HPM compiles report on closed DME complaints received in FY 2016.	11/20/2016	Completed	
4	Obtain specific examples from DME providers to determine which barriers providers are experiencing.	12/15/2016	Requested	
5	Enhanced MCO websites implemented.	5/1/2017	Completed	Enhanced MCO websites have been implemented and reviewed. HPM is currently following up on minor outstanding items.
6	Contract and manual changes effective to require MCOs to provide quarterly data regarding items or services have been reduced, denied, or terminated.	3/1/2017	Completed	

7	Assess DME complaints and potential next steps (internal).	1/30/2017		Analysis did not result in the identification of any DME complaint-related trends, but HPM will continue to monitor future complaint data.
8	Meet with internal workgroup to discuss DME complaints findings and utilization review results to determine appropriate actions and next steps.	6/1/2017	On Target Completed	
9	HPM and MCO conference calls to discuss complaint trends.	9/1/2017	Revised Completed	After researching complaint data, received from January 1, 2017 to October 31, 2017; only inquiries and complaints about preferred providers were identified as a trend. Due to these contacts, HHSC Program/Policy and Legal areas met with MCOs to clarify policies around Member choice and the processes, by which, the MCO shall capture and update member DME provider selections.
10	Review options to improve training.	9/1/2017	Revised Completed	
12	Conference calls with HPM, MCO, and providers to discuss complaint trends.	9/1/2017	Revised	
	Schedule a meeting with MCO/DME providers/DD advocates to discuss barriers, issues, and challenges.	10/1/2017	Revised	
11	Complete a random sample review of HCBS members, discuss outcomes with each MCO, and publish annual Utilization Review report.	11/1/2017	Completed	
12	Amend Uniform Managed Care Manual to include required template for all MCO denial letters	3/1/18	On Target	
13	Review DME issues with advocates/stakeholders at State Medicaid Managed Care Advisory Committee.	8/1/2017 6/1/2018	Revised On Target	This timeline, and all those that follow, is revised to allow time for additional information gathering from the utilization review process and complaint review.
14	Present and discuss proposed MCO Denial Notice Template at State Medicaid Managed Care Advisory Committee	9/1/2017 6/1/2018	On Target Delayed	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	34a / 67
	Department		No Action to be Taken:		
	_		In Progress: X		
			Complete: X		
			Other:		
Recommendation:	Improve access to s	ervices in the comm	unity and MCO transition planning.		
	HHSC and its mana	aged care contractors	s must ensure individuals have the su	apport needed to succe	essfully plan and access
		•	nedical, physical and psychiatric nee	* *	V 1
			/discharge planning will ensure time		
	those in or at risk or	f institutional placen	nent and improve MCO enrollment of	of individuals with cor	nplex needs from the
	community interest	lists. MEPD involve	ement and MCO enrollment and serv	vice planning will ensu	re that switching from
			d into managed care can be accompl		
Additional Stakeholder			a meeting with EveryChild, Inc., Te		
Background:			s Texas on 8/12/2016. The represent		
			ok, and that ongoing systematic train		
		•	ing be developed outside of HHSC l	by individuals with ex	perience helping
C 4		from nursing facilitie			
Category:		on / Member Assista		CT / D' 1:1' D'	1 . T
Provided By:			velopmental Disabilities/ The Arc of then requirements of service coordinates.		
HHSC Response:			aged care requirements and improvi		
			ervice coordinator. All members em		
			ation. The STAR Kids service coord		
			acute care and long term services an		
			stitutional setting to the community.		
			tion planning for children aging out		
	1	6 6			
	The STAR+PLUS	Handbook was revis	ed to make HHSC expectations for I	MCO service coordina	tors and their
	responsibilities for	members in a nursin	g facility and other programs (e.g., i	ntellectual and develo	pmental disability (IDD)
			JS contract changes effective 9/1/16		
		tion training and assessment requirements regarding a member's change in condition and MCO responsibilities			
		assessment and authorization of additional services. The STAR Kids contract and Handbook provide detailed			
	instructions regardi	ng MCO service coo	ordinator responsibilities for all mem	ibers.	
	The STAR+PLUS contract was amended, effective September 1, 2017, to add relocation functions to MCO service				
			ed, effective September 1, 2017, to a by relocation contractors, HHSC staf		
			s and responsibilities of MCO servic		
	Trandook to claim	, the respective roles	and responsionities of wico service	c coordinators and ren	Scation contractors

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	related to transitioning individuals in nursing facilities to the community. Effective March 1, 2018, the Uniform Managed						
	Care Manual (UMCM) will be amended to include a requirement for MCOs to provide relocation outcome information on						
	a quarterly basis. HHSC staff are working on a policy to strengthen MCO transition coordination with Local Intellect						
	and Developmental Disability Authorities (LIDDAS) for individuals with IDD who are in a nursing facility.						
	and Developmental Disability Addiornes (LiDDAS) for individuals with IDD who are in a naising facility.						
	HHS convened a workgroup of agency staff, contractors, and MCOs, to improve processes and policies related to a member's transition to the community. This workgroup is focused on clarifying roles and responsibilities related to						
	transition and discharge planning, working across service areas when members discharge to another part of the state,						
	ensuring member's health and safety, and promoting independence. The workgroup is managed by the Money Follows						
	the Person team. The workgroup completed its new policy guidelines for transitioning individuals from a nursing facility						
	in one service area to community-based services in another service area. The policy was published as Appendix XXIX in						
	the STAR+PLUS Handbook September 1, 2017. Additional stakeholders will be engaged for input as part of the						
	workgroup. Additional requirements related to service coordinator action may require legislative direction, should the						
	result increase MCO or HHSC costs related to service coordination.						
Date Last Updated:	03/12/2017- 11/21/2017						

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	STAR+PLUS Handbook Update.	3/01/17	Completed	The STAR+PLUS Handbook moved to biannual updates. As a
		9/01/17		result, this item was not updated. HHSC is now targeting a
				3/01/2017 effective date.
2	STAR+PLUS Contract Changes.	9/01/2016	Completed	
3	Begin transition workgroup.	Fall 2016	Completed	
4	STAR Kids Handbook Published and Effective.	11/01/2016	Completed	
5	STAR Kids Contract Effective.	11/01/2016	Completed	
6	Ongoing workgroup	Continues until	Ongoing	HHSC is addressing systematic barriers faced by MCOs and
		complete	Completed	their members. Following the clarification of expectations for
				transitions from facilities to the community, particularly
				transitions from a facility to a community in which the MCO
				does not operate, HHSC will address transitions from facilities
				other than nursing facilities and transitions to programs other
				than STAR+PLUS HCBS. This work is ongoing and includes
				MCOs, state staff, and will include community organizations
				in the future.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration: X	Number:	34b / 67		
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:				
	Department		In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other: X				
Recommendation:	Improve access to	hospital level of	care.				
Additional Stakeholder	This recommenda	tion was discussed	d in a meeting with EveryChild,	Inc., Texas Counci	l for Developmental Disabilities,		
Background:	Arc of Texas, and	Disability Rights	Texas on 8/12/2016. The repres	sentatives provided	feedback that a broader discussion		
	is needed with a l	arger stakeholder	group about the approach to this	s issue.			
Category:	Network Adequae	cy / Access to Care	e				
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc./	Texas Council for	Developmental Disabilities/Th	e Arc of Texas/Disa	ability Rights Texas		
HHSC Response:	HHSC submitted	a concept paper to	CMS with a proposal for serving	ng medically fragile	adults through the 1115 waiver.		
	HHSC discussed this concept paper with CMS in February 2016. In June, CMS sent a list of follow-up questions to						
	HHSC. HHSC discussed again with CMS in July, October, and December 2016. CMS sent an additional question to the						
	state on January 1	7, 2017 and the st	ate responded. HHSC will keep	stakeholders infor	med of the progress as the concept		
	is further develop	ed.					
		HHSC will continue to work with CMS and stakeholders to develop the concept of an improved way of delivering se					
	to individuals who	o are medically fra	agile. Contingent on CMS and le	egislative direction,	HHSC will amend the 1115 waiver		
	and develop an as	sessment tool and	process for this benefit.				
Date Last Updated:	3/13/17 12/4/2017						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	D 1 1 1 1	2/1/2016		uate.
1	Develop and submit concept paper.	3/1/2016	Completed	
2	Discuss with CMS.	8/1/2016	Ongoing	
3	Update stakeholders regarding CMS response.	11/1/2016	Ongoing	
4	Contingent upon CMS and legislative leadership approval to move forward with concept, draft proposal to estimate rates and other aspects of feasibility.	1/1/2017	Ongoing	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	34 d / 100 / 101		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	MCS		No Action to be Taken:				
	Department		In Progress: X				
	^		Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Efforts to educate	TMA and other of	organizations representing acute	care providers rega	rding the transition of IDD services		
	into the Texas Me	dicaid managed c	are system need to be initiated of	or, if already initiate	ed, intensified.		
	This includes ensu						
	_		respective members about the II				
				n providing medical	and other health-related care and		
			Managed Care program, and	N	1 1 1		
					d care system which many cite as		
			r 'traditional' Medicaid and repo		rns such as increased administrative		
	requirements not e	experienced under	traditional Medicaid and repo	orted offining and pay	inent issues).		
	Also conduct addi	tional training for	all affected stakeholders (MCC	s MCO SCs LTS	S IDD providers, and individuals		
					through STAR+PLUS and their		
			prities) to include: Further training				
	,	MCOs, LIDDAs and LTSS under managed care, and Communication of changes to processes to affected stakeholders.					
	Note: Use of com	e: Use of complaint data related to IDD service-related issues might be helpful in identifying topics that would be					
	beneficial to inclu	eneficial to include in any training as well as issues raised in various agency workgroup meetings in which IDD-related					
	issues are discusse	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Additional Background:							
Category:	Stakeholder engag						
Provided By:			ouncil for Developmental Disabi				
HHSC Response:					forums, councils and workgroups,		
	we are always inte	erested in ways we	e might enhance outreach and ed	ducation.			
	THICC :	. 6 11 1 6	1 IDD CD 4 C		1 1		
			he IDD SRAC regarding the bes				
	organizations. Thi 2016.	s topic will be ad	ded to the next Transition to Ma	naged Care SRAC	Subcommittee meeting in August		
	2010.						
	In October 2015, I	HHSC notified M	COs of online training develope	d by The Tennesse	e Department of IDD (TennCare)		
					with IDD designed to help educate		
			out the appropriate use of psycho				
					nily members, and conservators that		
			1 0	,			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

will help them understand the appropriate use of psychotropic medications in terms they can understand. MCOs were encouraged to share information about the trainings with providers, members with IDD, and their families. The notice and links to the training can be accessed on the HHSC website at https://hhs.texas.gov/services/health/medicaid-chip/provider-information/mco-notices/2015-notices-alerts-managed-care-organizations.

At the January 28, 2016 IDD SRAC meeting, the committee voted to submit a letter to the Executive Commissioner to expand the Network Access Improvement Project (NAIP) program across Texas. The letter encourages funding an educational component to provide incentive payments for additional physician training to serve persons with IDD and an

comprehensive educational program for primary care and specialty physicians to enhance physicians' understanding of how to better treat their patients with IDD. The letter was submitted to the Executive Commissioner on 2/24/2016.

On 6/3/2016 DADS released a free online training for people who care for, support, or advocate for people with IDD. This 6-part e-learning training series was developed by DADS and DSHS to educate direct service workers and others about behavioral health needs of people who have an IDD and a co-occurring behavioral health condition. This training looks at challenging behavior in a new way, emphasizes the importance of supporting mental wellness in individuals with an IDD,

enhanced payment for the additional time needed for certain complex cases. The letter also requests that HHSC develop a

This item is closed. For future information or updates refer to IDD SRAC transition to managed care subcommittee for stakeholder opportunities to engage.

and includes a module for trauma-informed care for individuals with IDD. HHSC notified all MCOs of the training on 6/10/2016. The Mental Health Wellness for Individuals with an Intellectual or Developmental Disability training can be

Date Last Updated:

03/12/2017-11/13/17

accessed online at http://www.mhwidd.com/.

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	HHSC notified MCOs of online training for	10/2/2015	Completed	
	primary care providers working with individuals			
	with IDD and training for members with IDD and			
	their families. The notice encouraged MCOs to			
	share information about the training with providers,			
	members with IDD and their families.			
2	IDD SRAC recommended expansion of NAIP to	2/24/2016	Completed	
	include additional funding related to training on			
	serving persons with IDD and development of an			

			0	
	educational program for primary care and specialty providers serving persons with IDD.			
3	DADS released training to educate direct service workers and others about behavioral health needs of people who have an IDD and a co-occurring behavioral health condition.	6/3/2016	Completed	
4	HHSC notified MCOs of the DADS online training.	6/10/2016	Completed	
5	HHSC requested feedback regarding survey criteria from Transition to Managed Care SRAC Subcommittee meeting in August 2016.	8/2/2017	On target Completed	HHSC Quality Assurance discussed designing a specific survey for IDD families to complete. The subcommittee's help in designing the survey questions was requested prior to its next meeting on 8/2/17.
6	HHSC Quality Assurance will review the feedback from the subcommittee and develop possible solutions to survey individuals with IDD and family members.	10/3/17	On Target Completed	Feedback has not been received from IDD SRAC subcommittee for HHSC to review as of 3/12/17.

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS Department	Status:	Under Consideration: No Action to be Taken: In Progress: X Complete: X Other:	ction to be Taken: ogress: X olete: X				
Recommendation:	Enhanced service coordination: Enhanced service coordination; enhanced medical/nurse coordination and supervision; and coordination and communication between acute and community care providers including transparency regarding assessments and authorization/denial of services. Identify, if needed, a complex care unit/swat (statewide or regional) team to best facilitate transitions between settings; between MCOs/MCO contract areas, or to address unusual chronic needs and prevent health care or other crises.							
Additional Stakeholder Background:	This recommendation was discussed in a meeting with EveryChild, Inc., Texas Council for Developmental Disabilities, The Arc of Texas, and Disability Rights Texas on 8/12/2016. The representatives provided feedback that stakeholders need to be more involved with this process, that transitions between settings are not adequately addressed, and that there needs to be greater transparency of assessments and denials based on assessments need review.							
Category: Provided By:		on / Member Assista		f Tayas/ Disability Rio	hte Tayee			
HHSC Response:	HHSC is working to community. HHSC about the role and a program will have individual in locating coordination to facilities also include extensi	EveryChild, Inc./ Texas Council for Developmental Disabilities/ The Arc of Texas/ Disability Rights Texas HHSC is working to clarify and strengthen requirements of service coordinators, particularly in the transition to community. HHSC is updating the managed care requirements and improving language in the STAR+PLUS Handbook about the role and responsibilities of a service coordinator. All members enrolled in the STAR Kids managed care program will have access to service coordination. The STAR Kids service coordinators are will be expected to assist the individual in locating and coordinating all Medicaid acute care and long term services and supports, which includes coordination to facilitate a smooth transition from an institutional setting to the community. The STAR Kids program will also include extensive requirements regarding transition planning for children aging out of STAR Kids into STAR+PLUS.						
	The STAR+PLUS Handbook changes regarding expectations for members in a nursing facility and other programs IDD waivers and 1915(i)) have been made. STAR+PLUS contract changes effective 9/1/16 included additional requirements regarding a member's change in condition. HHSC encourages contracted MCOs to develop innovative solutions to issues with care, such as transitions from fa				led additional required ion.			
	to the community or between MCOs. Requiring certain innovations, such as a complex care unit, could inhibit some of this innovation by forcing MCOs to use a certain model, and would likely require additional funds to make mandatory. HHSC does place best practices as a contractual requirement when one surfaces. For example, one MCO began requiring service coordinators to conduct a monthly check-in after long term services and supports are authorized to ensure their							

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

member is receiving what they were authorized and what they need. HHSC implemented a similar requirement that the MCOs, at a minimum, ensure that members receive authorized services within a certain timeframe.

Transparency in assessment, authorizations, and denials is important to HHSC and to our federal partners. HHSC is implementing new transparency requirements related to denials as part of the new federal Medicaid managed care rules and continues to work with MCOs to make necessary technology changes to increase transparency over time.

HHS convened a workgroup of agency staff, contractors, and MCO, to improve processes and policies related to a member's transition to the community. This workgroup is focused on clarifying roles and responsibilities related to transition and discharge planning, working across service areas when members discharge to another part of the state, ensuring member's health and safety, and promoting independence. The workgroup is managed by the Money Follows the Person team. The workgroup completed its new policy guidelines for transitioning individuals from a nursing facility in one service area to community-based services in another service area. The policy was published as Appendix XXIX in the STAR+PLUS Handbook September 1, 2017. HHSC staff are working on a policy to strengthen MCO transition coordination with Local Intellectual and Developmental Disability Authorities (LIDDAS) for individuals with IDD who are in a nursing facility.

The STAR Kids and STAR+PLUS MCOs are finalizing a checklist to be used by each MCO when a member transitions from one Medicaid managed care organization to another Medicaid managed care organization and from one Medicaid managed care program to another Medicaid managed care program (i.e. STAR Kids to STAR). This checklist will help ensure each MCO is providing all necessary documents to the receiving MCO. This may include: service plans, authorizations, historical information, transition plans, etc.

Additional stakeholders will be engaged for input as part of the workgroup. Additional requirements related to service coordinator action may require legislative direction, should the result increase MCO or HHSC costs related to service coordination.

Date Last Updated: 03/12/2017 11/8/2017

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	STAR+PLUS Handbook Update.	3/01/17	Completed	The STAR+PLUS Handbook moved to biannual updates. As a
				result, this item was not updated. HHSC is aiming for a 3/1
				effective date.
2	STAR+PLUS Contract Changes.	9/01/2016	Completed	
3	Begin transition workgroup.	Fall 2016	Completed	
4	STAR Kids Handbook Published and Effective.	11/01/2016	Completed	

5	STAR Kids Contract Effective.	11/01/2016	Completed	
6	Ongoing workgroup		Ongoing	HHSC is addressing systemic barriers faced by MCOs.
			Completed	Following the resolution of clarifying expectations for
			_	transitions, particularly transitions from a facility to a
				community in which the MCO does not operate, HHSC will
				address transitions from facilities other than nursing facilities
				and transitions to programs other than STAR+PLUS HCBS.
				This work is ongoing and includes MCOs, state staff, and will
				include community organizations in the future.
7	Transparency and access to assessments		Ongoing	Assessments range from 1 to up to 60 pages. Systems changes
			Completed	to post assessments to a portal or printing/faxing/emailing
			_	assessments have costs not currently included in MCO
				capitation. HHSC continues to work with MCOs to enhance
				MCO systems over time to address this concern without
				requiring additional appropriations.
				MCOs will identify changes that can be made at no cost to
				address this concern. HHSC continues to explore additional
				requirements related to service coordination.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	39			
	Department		No Action to be Taken:					
	_		In Progress: X					
			Complete:					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Ensure that Texas enforces mental health parity, allowing individuals receiving Medicaid managed care services to access							
	needed mental health treatment.							
			nitoring of MCO activity, educating		1 .			
	O 1		ity attention. Millions of Texans cur	•				
			lans. According to the Mental Health					
		<u> </u>	inteed access to the mental health an lowever, many individuals find then					
		C	copays, or separate deductibles for p	<u> </u>	C			
			to date, the U.S. government has not					
			ting the laws established through MI		emoreement action			
Additional Stakeholder	agamst an insurer o	i employer for viola	ing the laws established through the					
Background:								
Category:	Benefits							
Provided By:	Hogg Foundation for	or Mental Health						
HHSC Response:			t mental health parity apply to Ment					
			ents (such as co-pays and deductible					
			nt limitations (number of treatments					
			lly no more restrictive than requirem					
			UD and medical/surgical benefits oc					
			emergency services, and pharmacy					
			MCOs comply with all applicable p					
			the Medicaid program about implem arity requirements to benefits offere					
	The rules:	јине аррисацон ог р	tarity requirements to benefits offere	a mrough Medicala al	iu Chip manageu care.			
		antitativa traatmant 1	imitations and non-quantitative treat	mont limitations of M	U/SIID to be no more			
					11/30D to be no more			
	restrictive than medical/surgical benefits within the same classification. Requires that all individuals receiving any service through Texas Medicaid or CHIP MCOs are protected by							
	mental health parity, even if some services are provided in FFS							
			etermine which Medicaid and CHIP	sarvices are included	in each of the four			
			nalysis: inpatient, outpatient, emerg					
			not apply to clients who do not reco		_			
	- Clarity tha	. parity provisions ac	That apply to elicites who do not feet	orve arry services till of	ign an ivico.			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

- Allows states to include the costs of compliance in payments to MCOs.
- Requires compliance by October 2017.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services granted Texas an extension of its parity compliance to December 2, 2017. HHSC has conducted a full analysis its Medicaid and CHIP program for parity and as required by regulation, submitted documentation to CMS and posted on its state Medicaid website required information. HHSC has updated the managed care contracts requiring MCOs to comply with parity requirements and to provide HHSC with all required information for it to conduct the parity analysis. HHSC continues to engage stakeholders updates regarding compliance with the federal rules.

Overall, the rules represent a significant change in how HHSC monitors and evaluates mental health parity compliance. The rules will influence how managed care contracts operationalize parity regulations, and how plans are to make parity determinations. HHSC anticipates further state guidance from CMS. Meanwhile, HHSC continues to track and address parity complaints and requires that health plans comply with all applicable elements of MHPAEA.

03/12/201712/12/12/2017

Date Last Updated:

		Targeted	On Target	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving
	Milestone	Completion	/	successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
		Date	Complete	
			d /	
			Ongoing	
1	Conduct analysis of federal rules.	12/1/2016	Completed	
2	Amend managed care contracts.	9/30/2017	On Target	
			Completed	
3	Engage stakeholders.	10/31/2017	On Target	
			Completed	
4	Finalize analyses	11/15/2017	Completed	
5	Post state's parity compliance on state	12/2/2017	Completed	
	website			
6	Document compliance to CMS	12/2/2017	Completed	
7	Amend managed care manuals	4/30/2018	On Target	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

			<u> </u>				
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	40		
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:				
	Department		In Progress: X				
			Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Ensure full access	to Early and Peri	odic Screening, Diagnostic, and	Treatment (EPSDT	services.		
	The EPSDT mand	late ensures for the	e provision of screening, diagno	sis, and treatment. V	While individual state Medicaid		
					, they also include—for most part—		
	exceptions proces	ses to address tho	se medically necessary services	that require treatme	nt beyond the stated limitation caps.		
	HHSC should be	sure to monitor su	ch limits to ensure the children	covered under MCC	Os have full access to EPSDT		
			ne Texas Medicaid Manual.				
Additional Stakeholder					at this issue specifically relates to		
Background:	MCO compliance	with HHSC medi	cal policy regarding the amount	, duration, and scop	e of treatment provided by the		
	MCOs. TSHA be	lieves some MCC	s are not following the medical	policy outlined in the	ne Texas Medicaid Provider		
	Procedure Manua	l					
Category:	Benefits						
Provided By:	TSHA						
HHSC Response:	MCOs are require	d to provide EPSI	OT services (also known as THS	Steps in Texas) to al	l members 0 through 20 years of age,		
	including all servi	ces in the TMPPN	If (See UMCC 8.1.3.2).				
	EPSDT mandated	services are stipu	lated in Medicaid policy and the	e Texas Medicaid Pr	rovider Procedures Manual. MCOs		
	must provide serv	ices in the same a	mount, duration, and scope as th	nose services are off	ered in Traditional Medicaid.		
	To help address potential inconsistencies between MCOs, HHSC will issue policy guidance in the Uniform Managed Care						
	· ·	tive 9/1/17, to provide additional definition and clarification around HHSC's expectations for amount,					
	duration, and scor	e.					
Date Last Updated:	3/20/2017						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	HHSC will request examples of instances where an	7/31/2016	Complete	
	MCO has placed a treatment cap from THSteps.			
2	HHSC will review examples and determine	10/31/2016	Complete	HHSC is still reviewing examples and working with MCOs to
	appropriate next steps.			determine the processes they used and next steps.
3	Submit changes to the Uniform Managed Care	3/1/2017	Complete	
	Manual, effective 9/1/17, to provide additional			

P	, , 8 L	
	definition and clarification around HHSC's	
	expectations for amount, duration, and scope.	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	42		
rigency/21/15/16/15 epui unione.	Department	Statust	No Action to be Taken:	T (dilliout)			
	Department	In Progress: X					
			Complete:				
2	D 1 1/00	1 1 1 0	Other:	1	1. 1. 1. 1.		
Recommendation:	Require MCOs to u	se authentication fac	ctors including name, DOB, and sex	as a determination of	eligibility.		
	Demographic information for claims processing becomes an issue when there is a middle name or suffix. Most Managed Care Plans will deny a claim if the name is not submitted exactly as it appears in their system. This causes delay in claims processing. Managed care plans should use an authentication factor that includes the name, DOB, and sex as a determination of eligibility opposed to denying a claim because the name is incorrect.						
Additional Stakeholder Background:							
Category:	Claims						
Provided By:	CHAT						
HHSC Response:	HHSC will coordin	ate with the MCOs t	o research whether changes can be i	mplemented to approp	oriately address this		
_	recommendation. H	lowever, it is commo	on for clients to provide HHSC and t	he MCOs with one ve	rsion of their name and		
	provide a different version of their name to a provider, limiting the ability of HHSC and the MCOs to effectively resolve						
	this issue. If the provider is using MedID this should address this issue, but HHSC will request examples of situations in						
	which this occurred to review and identify next steps.						
Date Last Updated:	03/10/201712/4/20	17	-				

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
		•	/ Ongoing	date.
1	Request examples from CHAT.	6/1/2016	Completed	
2	Review additional examples to determine issue.	4/1/2017	Ongoing Complete	Staff continue to research examples provided. Most examples were fee-for- service and not Managed Care claims. HHSC Operations Management will work with Health Plan Management to obtain more examples for Managed Care Claims.
3	Coordinate with MCOs to identify next steps.	53/1/20187	Ongoing	Due to limited resources, this has not been completed. Due date revised.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	50				
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:						
	Department		In Progress: X						
			Complete: X						
			Other:						
Recommendation:	Provide all assess	ments for services	to the consumer as they are cor	npleted and not only	upon request.				
	Ensure transparen	cy and continuity	for consumers by requiring that	all assessments for	determining eligibility for waiver				
	services, personal	assistance service	es, habilitation, Community Firs	t Choice, Private Du	nty Nursing, Personal Care Services,				
			rapy services as well as the Indiv	vidual Service Plan	are uniformly provided to the				
	individual when c								
Additional Stakeholder					for Developmental Disabilities, The				
Background:					feedback that this issue could be				
			es were shared electronically the						
					g used to make decisions, and can				
					h possibility for error due to the				
Cotogowy	Communications	ons, and that raini	lies could be better prepared if a	assessments were pr	ovided in advance.				
Category: Provided By:		Tayas Council fo	r Developmental Disabilities/Th	a Arc of Tayas					
HHSC Response:					members. MCOs cited a significant				
mise Response.					For example, the Community First				
					ler but also to the member would				
			ling expense, which is currently						
			ion to any member who asks.		•				
			nent to the March, 2018 update						
	provide a member	's STAR Kids Sc	reening and Assessment within	seven days of the m	ember requesting a copy.				
	Transparency in a	ssessment, author	izations, and denials is importar	nt to HHSC and to o	ur federal partners. HHSC is				
	implementing nev	implementing new transparency requirements related to denials as part of the new federal Medicaid managed care rules and							
		continues to work with MCOs to make necessary technology changes to increase transparency (and spread the cost of							
	•	•	requirements related to printing	_	0 1				
			m a member will require addition	onal funding in MCC) rates.				
Date Last Updated:	03/12/2017-11/8/2	2017							

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
1	Provide an update regarding CFC assessment improvement to IDD system Improvement Workgroup	11/18/16	/ Ongoing Completed	date.
2	Explore feasibility of posting member assessments for LTSS in the member portal in STAR+PLUS within existing funding.	12/31/2016	Completed	Within existing funding, building member portal capabilities to house assessments is not feasible.
3	Provide an update regarding CFC assessment improvement to Promoting Independence Advisory Committee	1/19/2017	Completed	
4	Incorporate input from stakeholders and continue to address recommendations	12/1/2017	Ongoing Completed	HHSC will continue to explore additional opportunities for member and provider portals with existing funding.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	55		
Agency/Division/Department.	MCS MSS	Status.	No Action to be Taken:		33		
	Department		In Progress: X				
	Department		Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Paguira that the I	MOs adhara ta t		in rule and in centr	net .		
Recommendation:	Require that the DMOs adhere to the main dentist model as defined in rule and in contract.						
	Despite the clear	definition and co	ntract expectations for main dent	icts the dental mans	aged care organizations are allowing		
			d an unlimited number of dental of				
			they have never stepped foot in the				
					nome assignment process used by		
			client has not self-selected a main				
			ss because it appears they have d		•		
	are not really prac		so course to appears uney may co	enrious praedicing ac	100 11 110110 1110110 111010 11101010		
		8 .					
Additional Stakeholder	In March 2012 th	ne state hegan jisi	ng the main dentist model for del	ivering dental care	Under this model, the main dental		
Background:			ng relationship with the client inc				
background.					coordinator of a child's dental care,		
			o coordinates referrals to dental s		coordinator of a clina's defital care,		
				•	and in contract.		
Category:	HHSC must require that the DMOs adhere to the main dentist model as defined in rule and in contract. Contract Provisions						
Provided By:	Texas Dental Association						
HHSC Response:	HHSC conducts provider directory verification for the DMOs on a quarterly basis to identify inaccurate directory listings.						
			y listings and request additional in				
	practices and network adequacy as needed. Additionally, both DMOs regularly monitor network rosters for accuracy,						
			der network rosters, and monitor				
					es under active review with the SB		
	760 workgroup.		• •	•			
			me workgroup of dentists, the Te				
					, the current procedures for member		
	assignment will remain in place. However, additional clarification of operational procedures will be added to the UMC						
	HHSC has implemented, for a limited time, monitoring of main dental home changes as reported by the DMOs to better						
	identify trends and patterns that may require additional attention.						
	Because TMHP does not limit the number of locations for which a dental practice can enroll in Medicaid, the DMOs may						
					roviders have a need to be affiliated		
	with multiple loca	ations, such as tra	weling providers. Providers hold	the ultimate respon			
					Page 66		

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	directory listings with TMHP and HHSC are accurate, and for notifying the DMOs if they are no longer active providers. In addition, DMOs actively review their rosters for inactive provider locations with no claims activity, and follow up with providers to ensure rosters are as accurate as possible. Providers may be listed at four locations in the DMO provider directories.
Date Last Updated:	3/10/2017 12/4/2017

	-	Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Main dental home workgroup meeting.	February 2016	Completed	
2	Implement monitoring tools for main dental home	Spring 2016	Completed	
	changes.			
3	Complete monitoring of main dental home changes.	September 2017	Ongoing	
4	Clarification of main dental home operational	March 2018	On target	Additional review required to determine what UMCM
	procedures added to UMCM	September 2018	Delayed	changes are appropriate No changes to main dental home
				assignment methodology or limits on the number of locations
				at which a provider can be credentialed are forthcoming.
				especially related to the impact of value-based purchasing
				and alternative payment models on this UMCM chapter.

			Under Consideration:	N	58		
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:		Number:	38		
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:				
	Department		In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Establish credentialing and minimum performance standards for nursing facility providers seeking to participate in the						
	STAR + PLUS	Medicaid manag	ed care program that are consist	tent with adopted fe	deral and state standards.		
	HHSC has not in	mplemented other	er current law (SB 7, 2013) rega	arding the Commissi	on's responsibility to –		
	"establish cr	edentialing and i	minimum performance standard	ls for nursing facility	providers seeking to participate in the		
					deral and state standards. A managed		
					ng facility does not meet the minimum		
			ed by the commission under this				
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Contract provisi	ons					
Provided By:	AARP						
HHSC Response:	In accordance with Government Code 533.00251(e), HHSC is developing nursing facility (NF) credentialing and minimum performance standards and plans to submit contract amendments in September 2017 to be effective March 1, 2018.						
	Currently the contract includes standard significant traditional provider (STP) provisions statewide for nursing facilities in STAR+PLUS that will expire February 28, 2018. The MCO must treat a NF as an STP if it holds a valid certification, license, and contract through DADS as of Sept. 1, 2013. Additionally, the any willing provider policy is in contract, but there is no expiration date. MCOs must enter into Network Provider Agreement with any willing NF-provider, including new providers and those that have gone through a change in ownership after Sept. 1, 2013. The NF STP provision and any willing provider provision are separate requirements from the credentialing and minimum performance standards. HHSC plans to implement these standards when the STP provision expires. Once NF credentialing and minimum performance standards are developed, the any willing provider provision will need to be updated in the contract.						
	A meeting was held with associations, MCOs, and NF providers on 3/15/16 requesting their input on MCO credentialing standards for NFs. HHS surveyed the STAR+PLUS MCOs and the Medicare-Medicaid plans (MMP) about credentialing and re-contracting of NFs and skilled nursing facilities (SNFs). Additional meetings were scheduled to obtain further input. HHSC met with AARP on 2/21/2017 to discuss feedback and ideas under discussion. HHSC incorporated AARP's feedback into the draft high level proposal.						

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	The NF credentialing stakeholder workgroup comprised of state staff and key stakeholders, will work together in			
	developing the credentialing and performance standards. The workgroup will consider how to prevent the implementation			
	of these standards from resulting in access to care issues.			
Date Last Updated:	03/10/2017 12/4/2017			

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Nursing facility provider meeting held requesting	3/15/2016	Completed	
	feedback from providers, associations and MCOs.			
2	Nursing facility provider meeting held reiterating	4/25/2016	Completed	
	that feedback is being requested.			
3	STAR+PLUS conference call asking MCOs to	6/1/2016	Completed	
	submit in writing the credentialing criteria they will			
	use once STP status for nursing facility providers			
	expires and how each MCO will handle contracting			
	with NF as well.			
4	Requested criteria received from the MCOs.	6/13/2016	Completed	
5	Meet with AARP to discuss feedback received.	2/21/2017	Completed	
6	Obtain feedback from other relevant stakeholders.	2/1/2017 through	Ongoing	
		8/31/2017		
7	Revise UMCC and UMCM to incorporate changes	9/1/2017	On Target	
	for 3/1/201 <mark>78</mark> effective date.		Completed	
8	Determine if a Texas Administrative Code rule	TBD		
	amendment is needed.			
9	Negotiate contract amendments	10/1/2017 through	On Target	
		2/28/2018		
10	Dependent upon contract amendment negotiations,	3/1/2018	On Target	
	nNew STAR+PLUS credentialing and minimum			
	performance standards become effective. All			
	STAR+PLUS MCOs must use the state-identified			
	credentialing standards to credential NFs seeking to			
	participate in must meet credentialing and			
	performance standards to continue to be contracted			
	with STAR+PLUS. MCOs			

11	Revise UMCM to incorporate minimum	TBD	On Target	
	performance standards			
12	Dependent upon contract amendment negotiations,	12/31/2018	On Target	
	by December 31, 2018, the MCO must complete			
	credentialing of all NFs that are in its network as of			
	March 1, 2018.			
11	Monitor NF performance on standards	TBD Beginning	Ongoing	
13		3/1/2018		
12	Annual review and reassessment of standards and	TBD	Ongoing	
14	modification of standards with submission of			
	contract amendments as needed			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

<u> </u>				Number:				
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:			61			
	Department		No Action to be Taken:					
			In Progress: X					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Improve accuracy of	of eligibility data con	nmunicated between TMHP and MC	COs.				
	More up to date eli	gibility determination	n between TMHP and Managed Car	e Plans. We encounter	issues where Managed			
	Care plans have del	ays in uploading the	State eligibility files, which cause e	erroneous denials relate	ed to eligibility. If			
	Managed Care Plan	is were capturing elig	gibility timely it would prevent delay	ys in payment. This m	ay also cause issues if a			
	patient has switched	d plans and the possi	bility of their treatment not being re	ported timely could ca	use delays in the family			
	receiving other ben	efits, such as TANF,	etc.					
Additional Stakeholder								
Background:								
Category:	Claims							
Provided By:	CHAT							
HHSC Response:	MCOs are contractually required to upload eligibility files in a timely manner. HHSC requested examples of this							
	occurring from CH	AT and will work to	address issues using these examples	S.				
			was determined that one solution to		•			
			the enrollment broker to the MCO f					
			STAR Health, and pregnant women					
	_	•	g this for the remaining members of	1 0				
		•	this in 2018 is underway. Providers	can stay informed abo	out further progress on			
		this effort through communication with managed care organizations.						
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 11/2/2017							

		Targeted	On Target /	0 / 1
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Obtain examples from CHAT of this issue	8/1/2016	Completed	
	occurring.			
2	HHSC review the examples, reach out to health	11/1/2016	Completed	Examples received and staff currently reviewing to
	plans to obtain additional information, and			determine next steps.
	determine root cause of issue.			
3	Develop recommended solution.	2/1/2017	Completed	

4	Determine feasibility of implementing daily file for	12/1/2017	On Target	
	remaining members of STAR program.		Completed	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	62 a-c / 63 / 64			
Agency/Division/Department.	Department Department	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	Nullibel.	02 a-c / 03 / 04			
	Department		In Progress: X					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Paguira (or strong)	y angouraga) MCOs	, LTSS providers and other persons/	antitias/arganizations	which interface with			
Recommendation:) receiving care/services via the Med					
			plaint with individuals, LARs and fa					
	_	mind their members	•	animes and, pernaps o	ii aii aiiiuai basis,			
	require wices to re	mind their members	of the process.					
	Although HHSC an	d DADS recently di	sseminated the process for submittin	g a complaint to those	who receive DADS			
			eholders still do not subscribe to the					
			puter, and many do not feel comforta					
			know how to submit a complaint for					
		,	1					
	Clarify the differen	ces between filing a	complaint via the HPM Complaint e	mail box, the Ombuds	sman or online form for			
	reporting to the Om	budsman and sendir	ng an email to contact@hhsc.state.tx	us (an option noted w	hen one clicks on the			
	link to the ombudsr	nan form) and inform	n stakeholders. Note: Some stakeho	lders have been told a	ny of the 3 options can			
		•	Medicaid managed care program. C	Consider consolidating	the 3 options if no			
	distinct differences	exist.						
			ne HHSC complaint email box the op					
			n online form. The form should be re					
			ich one, as well as a question that as	ks the person to identi	fy if the issue pertains to			
	a person in a nursing facility, a person with IDD, etc. This recommendation was discussed in a meeting with PPAT on 8/8/2016 and it was noted that families need more							
Additional Stakeholder								
Background:			nt and information provided should	address family concer	ns about retaliation.			
Category:	Service Coordination / Member Assistance							
Provided By:	PPAT	.1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 ' 1 ' ' 1 1 ' '	1	1. '1'			
HHSC Response:			the services being provided to custo					
			d inquiries regarding Medicaid Man					
			reported issues. Both areas receive					
	contracted providers. However, the Office of the Ombudsman mainly receives member initiated complaints, while HHSC							
	HPM receives complaints from both members and providers. Member and Provider manuals include detailed information on how to file a complaint and appeal. Clients and providers can submit their complaints through all available avenues							
			•					
			e will be routed to the appropriate re					
	Current processes in	Current processes include a tracking number, receive dates, due dates, resolved dates, trending and analysis for global and						

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

isolated issues, and collaboration with program staff. Complaint data is reported daily and analyzed quarterly unless otherwise specified by leadership or due to a project need.

The HHS Ombudsman Managed Care Assistance Team coordinates resolution of managed care inquiries and complaints received by the Office of the Ombudsman. The Office of the Ombudsman has held eight 11 meetings of the "Managed Care Support Network" that includes HHSC, DADS, staff that work with Medicaid eligibility, enrollment, and operations, the Department of Family and Protective Services, Aging and Disability Resource Centers, Area Agency on Aging, enrollment broker (MAXIMUS), and other representatives who interact regularly with consumers and families to provide support and information services to Medicaid managed care consumers.

HHSC HPM coordinates with members, providers, other internal staff, stakeholders, and MCOs to review trends, issues, and resolution of inquiries and complaints received. HHSC HPM also makes recommendations to the HHSC HPM Teams and management regarding remedies and corrective action for egregious cases.

MCOs who retaliate against members are in violation of their contract (UMCC section 8.2.6.1) and HHSC HPM can place the MCO on Corrective Action Plans, as well as administer monetary sanctions for any violation of the contract. Allegations of any discriminatory or punitive action against a complainant are entered in the HHS Enterprise Administrative Reporting and Tracking system (HEART); and researched by HHSC HPM, HHSC Medicaid CHIP Policy and potentially HHSC Legal.

To report complaints directly to HHSC: https://hhs.texas.gov/ombudsman or HPM_complaints@hhsc.state.tx.us
The reference to the Contact@hhsc.state.tx.us" email address has been removed from the agency's website. To report complaints to HHSC, consumers should call the HHS Office of the Ombudsman or make an online submission at https://hhs.texas.gov/ombudsman . Providers can submit complaints to HPM_complaints@hhsc.state.tx.us

The HHSC/DADS Long-Term Care Ombudsman has requested nursing facility specific data from the MCOs on a monthly basis to determine the types, as well as the volume, of complaints received related to nursing facility members.

HHSC/DADS/Office of Ombudsman are coordinateding with stakeholder groups to create flyers and magnets for clients that include a simple explanation of the complaint process and list the most critical numbers to call for health and emergencies.

HHSC staff also participate in monthly coordination meetings with the Office of the Ombudsman to ensure member needs are met.

HHSC HPM will determine the feasibility of implementing an electronic form for complaints submission.

Date Last Updated:

03/07/2017-11/17/2017

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	HPM participate in quarterly IDD Quality Subcommittee.	4/11/2016	Completed	
2	HPM participate in quarterly IDD Quality Subcommittee.	9/29/2016	Ongoing	
3	HPM participate in Texas Consumer Direction committee	02/28/2017	Completed	HPM presented the complaints process to the group.
4	Host first meeting of Managed Care Support Network authorized by SB 760 SECTION 3 (including the Long-term Care Ombudsman, 17 other HHS offices and three other state agencies).	5/19/16	Completed	
5	Second meeting of the Network.	6/16/16	Completed	
6	Outreach meetings with community organizations assisting Medicaid managed care clients.	Ongoing	Ongoing	
7	Create consumer-friendly outreach materials that can be shared with Medicaid managed care clients.	7/1/16	Completed	
8	Update UMCM with related changes.	1/04/2017	Completed	
9	Internal document created identifying appropriate program areas to funnel complaints.	7/22/15	Completed	
10	Review of MCO complaint and appeals data from nursing facility residents.	10/15/2016	Due from MCOs on 10/15/2016 Completed	Webinar with the MCOs, to discuss the requirements of the data, was held on 09/07/2016. All appropriate MCOs were present.
11	Regular coordination meeting between MCS HPM staff and HHS Office of the Ombudsman.	Ongoing	Ongoing	Next meeting is scheduled for March 2017.
12	Meeting to review complaints reported to HPM teams on a quarterly basis, focusing on any specific trends that are noticed.	Next meeting March 2017	Completed and Ongoing	Detailed complaint trends were discussed with all internal areas in August 2016, for all MCOs and DMOs.
13	Hosted third meeting of the Managed Care Support Network	7/21/16	Completed	
14	Hosted fourth meeting of the Managed Care Support Network	8/25/16	Completed	

15	Hosted fifth meeting of the Managed Care Support	9/22/16	Completed	
	Network			
16	Hosted sixth meeting of the Managed Care Support	10/20/16	Completed	
	Network			
17	Hosted seventh meeting of the Managed Care	11/17/16	Completed	
	Support Network			
18	Hosted eighth meeting of the Managed Care	12/29/16	Completed	
	Support Network			
19	Continue to host ongoing meetings of the Managed		Completed	The network has been established and continues to meet on a
	Care Support Network			regular basis.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	65 / 66		
2-g-12-j, 22, 12-24, 2-eput micrit.	Ombudsman /	2 Jacob	No Action to be Taken:	1 (4441001)	32 / 33		
	MSS MCS		In Progress: X				
	Department		Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Ensure independent	t ombudsmen are ava	ailable for people experiencing barrie	ers to accessing manag	ged care services.		
			yed to ensure consumer complaints a				
			atives have many ongoing burdens v				
			system should funnel complaints to	a proper channel so c	onsumers and		
Additional Stakeholder			y seek help for specific issues. a meeting with EveryChild, Inc., Te.	vas Council for Daval	onmontal Disabilities		
Background:			a meeting with EveryClind, mc., Te. as on 8/9/2016. These representative				
Dackgi bullu.			tal disability to help present the mate				
			unication. There were concerns share				
		sion of DD advocate			orumanon worngroup		
Category:		on / Member Assista	<u>~</u>				
Provided By:	Disability Rights T	exas/ EveryChild, In	c./Texas Council for Developmental	Disabilities/The Arc	of Texas		
HHSC Response:			Assistance Team is available to assist				
_			ate Long-Term Care Ombudsman is				
			HHS Transition Plan submitted to t				
			ely attached to the HHS Office of the				
			the HHS Office of the Ombudsman				
			tiate a deeper HHSC review of the M	ICO or provider and the	heir processes either by		
	a desk review, onsi	te review, or secret s	hopper call.				
	SP 760 84th Logic	latura Dagular Cassi	ion, 2015, directs the HHS Office of	the Ombudemen to co	pordinate a naturark of		
			ion services to Medicaid managed ca				
			ged Care Support Network" that incl				
			OADS, the Long Term Care Ombuds				
		•	ty Resource Centers, Area Agency o		•		
			egularly with consumers and familie		, ,,		
	The HHSC/DADS Long-Term Care Ombudsman has requested nursing facility specific data from the MCOs on a						
	monthly basis to determine the types, as well as the volume, of complaints received related to nursing facility members.						
	THIGO DADG OCC.	60 1 1	P / P 1 12 14	. 1 . 1 . 1	, C1 1		
			e coordinateding and working with a				
	magnets for chents	mai merude a simple	e explanation of the complaint proce	ss and fist the most cri	ucai numbers to call for		

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	1		
	health and emergencies. The Office of the Ombudsman is workeding with HHS programs areas and community		
	organizations to develop IDD consumer friendly outreach material.		
HHSC is currently looking at the roles of service coordinators and ways to strengthen the roles of the MCO p			
relations teams, especially when serving IDD populations. Additional information about these activities can be			
	the response to recommendation 34e / 67.		
Date Last Updated:	03/07/2017- 11/17/2017		

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Host first meeting of Managed Care Support Network authorized by SB 760 SECTION 3 (including the Long-term Care Ombudsman, 17 other HHS offices and three other state agencies).	5/19/16	Completed	
2	Second meeting of the Network.	6/16/16	Completed	
3	Outreach meetings with community organizations assisting Medicaid managed care clients.	Ongoing	Ongoing	HHSC held a managed care stakeholder meeting on 07/26/2016 to discuss various topics, including the number/types of complaints received by HPM, for every program type, since January 1, 2016; including the time to resolve complaints. Additionally, stakeholders were educated on how to file member and provider complaints. HHSC will continue to hold these forums in the future.
4	Regular coordination meeting between MCS HPM staff and HHS Office of the Ombudsman	Ongoing	Ongoing	Next meeting is scheduled for March 2017
5	Hosted third meeting of the Managed Care Support Network	7/21/16	Completed	
6	Hosted fourth meeting of the Managed Care Support Network	8/25/16	Completed	
7	HHS Office of Ombudsman is developing presentation and outreach material that will provide STAR Kids families with an overview of the Ombudsman Office. The office will offer organizations that work with STAR Kids clients the opportunity to present feedback during the production of this material.	10/31/16	Completed	

8	Continued to host monthly meetings of the		Completed	The network has been established and continues to meet on a
	Managed Care Support Network			regular basis.
9	HHS Office of Ombudsman is developing	5/31/17	In Progress	
	presentation and outreach material that will provide		Completed	
	clients with a developmental disability with an			
	overview of the Ombudsman Office. The office			
	will offer organizations that work with IDD clients			
	the opportunity to present feedback during the			
	production of this material.			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS		Under Consideration:	Number:	68			
Agency/Division/Department.	Department Department	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	Number.	00			
	Department		In Progress: X					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Closely monitor the	t the DMOs are only	allowing clients to receive dental to	l reatment at an ambula	ory surgical center			
Recommendation.			ne situation clearly dictates the treatr		ory surgical center			
	(ASC) under genera	ii anestiiesia when ti	ie situation clearly dictates the freati	nent modanty.				
	Within Medicaid th	nere is an increase in	the number of ASCs directly emplo	wing denticts and adve	ertising to clients and			
			n to schedule clients for dental care					
			sleeping" and having all dental serv					
			care is being delivered by a pediatri					
	,		<i>y</i> , ,		*			
	patients are led to believe their child is receiving specialty care when in fact, a general dentist is performing the dental services.							
Additional Stakeholder	Services.							
Background:								
Category:	Benefits							
Provided By:	Texas Dental Assoc	riation						
HHSC Response:			olicy changes for dental anesthesia.	To accommodate stake	eholder feedback and			
Title C Response.			ding the implementation date to mic		onorder reededen und			
	l control remit the p		some one of the					
	The issue of dental	anesthesia administe	ered in ASCs is connected to the rev	i ew of anesthesia polic	ev that is currently			
			roup are dependent upon the timelir					
	•		icy that requires prior authorization					
			anesthesia on children 0 through 6					
			cluding ambulatory surgical centers					
			d information to support the prior au					
			al services in a medical facility nece					
	·	* *	CO or TMHP (as applicable).	•	1			
	Medicaid data indicates that treatment under level 4 sedation or general anesthesia is more likely to occur on children							
	under seven (7) years old. This policy provides a reasonable method to ensure that dental treatment at ASCs under							
	general anesthesia is an appropriate treatment modality based on medical necessity.							
Date Last Updated:	3/7/17 11/9/2017							

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Anesthesia Workgroup Meetings.	1/1/2017	Completed	Meeting held 11/9/2016. No additional meetings are
				scheduled at this time.
2	Implement Interim Anesthesia Policy.	7/1/2017	On Target	Implementation postponed to allow more time for policy
			Completed	refinement and stakeholder input. Interim Anesthesia policy
				implemented.
3	Long-term Anesthesia Policy Completion and	7/1/2017	Ongoing	Revisions to the long term-policy dental anesthesia policy are
	revision of Criteria for Dental Therapy Under		Completed	under consideration.
	General Anesthesia Form.		_	HHSC has determined that the long-term anesthesia policy,
				consisting of proposed revisions to the "Criteria for Dental
				Therapy Under General Anesthesia" form, is not an
				appropriate action at this time. HHSC will evaluate the
				impact of the interim anesthesia policy as data becomes
				available to determine if additional changes to the Criteria
				form would facilitate improved service delivery to Medicaid
				clients.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	70				
g <i>y</i>	MCS	~	No Action to be Taken:	- 10/					
	Department		In Progress: X						
	•		Complete: X						
			Other:						
Recommendation:					parriers that discourage or prevent				
	schools.	them from enrolling as a Medicaid managed care provider and conduct ongoing outreach to medical and other professional schools.							
		a) Outreach to physicians/office managers/specialists for additional stakeholder input on barriers that discourage or prevent them from enrolling as a Medicaid managed care provider from their perspective.							
	therapy, physical t	b) On-going outreach to medical schools and other professional schools such as psychiatry, dental, nursing, occupational therapy, physical therapy. Work with professional schools to provide curriculum on community-based services, special needs populations and Medicaid.							
		c) Work with health-related institutions and allied health professional schools with on-site clinics that might not currently accept Medicaid to begin accepting Medicaid patients.							
Additional Stakeholder Background:									
Category:	Network Adequac	y / Access to Care	e						
Provided By:	PACSTX								
HHSC Response:	program. In additi	on, HHSC review	sociations and collects feedback red information related to this issuere was a public forum on June 6	sue as part of the pro	ocess to develop network adequacy				
	In addition, TMHP conducts presentations at health-related institutions related to Medicaid State Programs (e.g. THSteps Medical and Dental, Children with Special Health Care Needs, Case Management for Children and Pregnant Women, etc. to recruit new Medicaid providers. HHSC staff will meet with TMHP to discuss additional information that may be included in these presentations in the future.								
	HHSC will continue to coordinate and work with provider associations and advocates to collect feedback on strengths, challenges, and possible solutions to provider participation in the Medicaid program.								
	The TMHP contract includes outreach to providers. HHSC met with TMHP recently about outreach for CHIP and new requirements on outreach.								
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 12/4/2017	7							

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Meet with TMHP to discuss training components	9/1/2017	Delayed	This item is on hold due to current resource limitations and will
	and consider additional information to be added.		Complete	be re-evaluated in September 2017.
2	Review this recommendation further to determine	9/1/2017	Delayed	This item is on hold due to current resource limitations and will
	additional next steps.		Complete	be re evaluated in September 2017.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	71 / 74 a-e / 74 g / 74 j / 74 l-m
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:		Ç 3
	Department		In Progress: X		
			Complete: X		
			Other:		
Recommendation:	HHSC should ado	pt additional stan	dards regarding network adequa	cy, including:	
Additional Stakeholder	individual Requiring after an indisabilitie the incide Regularly monitor a Ensuring and transpand trans	Is with disabilities and MCOs to ensure adividual is enrolled as greatly contribution of higher activates assessing network and as return and as requested and as requested as a request as a requested a	continuity of providers by allowed into a managed care plan. Cotes to preventing complications te care costs. ks to identify gaps in access to cose areas. k adequacy standards, assessment and the ended to ensure that enrollees on the providers not access are available. If there has been any "significant down the member. We access to services within time and emergency care with a 30 min no pre-authorization is required: within 10 days, but no later than is to access out-of-network providers to access out-of-network providers to access hours. Dorted, plans should resolve this	ving the ability to montinuity of care for and promotes long-teare, accompanied but procedures and data have access to high excepting new Medicate change" in health sufframes that account nute drive of or 15 max within 24 hours of within 48 hours of real 15 days. It does not determine the desired are desired as without prior and for if a request from the grievance within 10	y a plan to remedy those gaps and ata documenting compliance is clear quality, medically necessary aid patients as a way to ensure status to LTSS providers and with t for differences in urban and rural miles from home or workplace. request.
Background:					
Category:	Network Adequac	y / Access to Car	e		

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Provided By:	Disability Rights Texas/Every Child, Inc./Texas Council for Developmental Disabilities/The Arc of Texas
HHSC Response:	SB 760 requires HHSC to publish network adequacy standards. SB 760 also requires HHSC to implement different mileage standards for urban and rural areas if feasible.
	Currently, HHSC contractually requires MCOs to comply with various network adequacy metrics including but not limited to: wait times for appointments, mileage standards, and out-of-network utilization. MCOs that are not in compliance are required to develop a corrective action plan to improve access
	SB 760 and new rules issued by the CMS require HHSC to establish minimum access standards, including time and distance, for MCO provider networks for certain provider types. HHSC staff developed a draft proposal for revising existing distance and appointment availability standards as well as creating new travel time standards. The draft proposal was shared at the SB 760 stakeholder forum on June 6, 2016 and has been refined through subsequent meetings with stakeholders. HHSC has reviewed stakeholder input, analyzed the impact these new standards would have on existing MCO networks, compared the proposed standards to standards for commercial insurance, and identified all contract provisions and rules that would need to be amended to implement the proposed access standards. Changes to contracts related to access standards were effective March 1, 2017. Any access standards not included in the March 1, 2017 contract amendment will be included in subsequent amendments. This will likely include access standards for urgent care and long-term services and supports.
	In regards to monitoring, the S.B. 760 workgroup will establish a process to ensure MCOs comply with contractual standards. Once standards are established, HHSC will submit to the Legislature and make available to the public a report containing information on Medicaid members' access to healthcare services in managed care.
	Remaining activities are related to the milestones also reported on in item 1c, so future updates to these action items will be reported in item 1c.
Date Last Updated:	03/10/2017- 10/26/2017

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Develop provider access standards for MCO provider networks.	6/1/2016	Completed	
2	Conduct stakeholder forum to receive feedback on implementing SB 760.	6/6/2016	Completed	
3	Reassess and revise proposed provider access standards based on stakeholder feedback.	8/15/2016	Completed	

4	Submit proposed access standards to MCOs as part of March 2017 contract amendment	10/1/2016	Completed	
5	Amend managed care contracts and agency rules as necessary to include initial access standards.	3/1/2017	Completed	
6	Amend managed care contracts and agency rules as necessary to include long term services and supports and other network adequacy standards to meet requirements of CMS rules.	9/1/2018	On Target	
7	Amend agency rules as necessary to include revised access standards.		Ongoing	See item 1c for further updates.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	74i	
ingenery, 21 vision 2 open onicial	MCS	2000000	No Action to be Taken:	1101112001	,	
	Department		In Progress: X			
	2 op ar timent		Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Plans should striv	e to make primary	care services available within 3	30 minutes or 10 mi	les of an enrollee's residence.	
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Network Adequae	cy / Access to Car	e			
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc./	Texas Council for	r Developmental Disabilities/Th	e Arc of Texas		
HHSC Response:	SB 760 and new i	ules issued by CN	AS require HHSC to establish m	inimum access stand	dards, including time and distance,	
	for MCO provide	r networks for cer	tain provider types. HHSC staff	developed a draft p	roposal for revising existing distance	
	and appointment	availability standa	ards as well as creating new trave	el time standards. Tl	he draft proposal was shared at the	
	SB 760 stakehold	er forum on June	6, <mark>2016</mark> . HHSC reviewed stakel	holder input, analyze	ed the impact these new standards	
	would have on ex	isting MCO netwo	orks, compared the proposed sta	indards to standards	for commercial insurance, and	
	identified all cont	ract provisions an	d rules that would need to be an	nended to implemen	t the proposed access standards.	
	Changes to contra	acts and rules were	e effective March 1, 2017. Netw	vork adequacy stand	ards for LTSS will be included in	
	September 2018 managed care contracts.					
	Remaining activit	ivities are related to the milestones also reported on in item 1c, so future updates to the			updates to these action items will be	
	reported in item 1	c.				
Date Last Updated:	03/10/2017 10/26	/2017				

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Develop provider access standards for MCO	6/1/2016	Completed	
	provider networks.			
2	Conduct stakeholder forum to receive feedback on	6/6/2016	Completed	
	implementing SB 760.			
3	Reassess and revise proposed provider access	8/15/2016	Completed	
	standards based on stakeholder feedback.			
4	Amend managed care contracts and agency rules as	9/1/2018	On Target	
	necessary to include long term services and			
	supports and other network adequacy standards to			
	meet requirements of CMS rules.			

5	Amend agency rules as necessary to include	de revised	Ongoing	See item 1c for further updates.
	access standards.			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration: X	Number:	74k		
	MCS		No Action to be Taken: X				
	Department		In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	If a member make	es a request of the	ir service coordinator for help w	ith things like findir	ng a provider or getting them		
	information about	their plan, they s	hould respond within 24 hours.				
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Network Adequac	Network Adequacy / Access to Care					
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc./	Texas Council for	r Developmental Disabilities/ Th	ne Arc of Texas			
HHSC Response:	HHSC is committee	ed to providing ac	ccess to quality, cost-effective ca	are. Imposing a 24-h	nour turnaround time for service		
	coordinators would require round-the-clock service and expecting a registered nurse service coordinator to be available						
	on evening and weekends would have a significant fiscal impact and require legislative appropriation.						
Date Last Updated:	03/12/2017 12/7/2	2017					

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the
			/ Ongoing	targeted date.
1	HHSC research what timeframe to require MCOs	11/30/2016	Complete	Need more time to consider the best approach for
	to respond to a member request.			implementing a specified timeframe for service
				coordinators to respond.
				HHSC implemented contract changes as listed in the
				milestones below to address this concern.
2	HHSC now has a contract provision requiring the	3/1/17	Complete	
	MCO's Member Services Hotline to assist a			
	Member to find a provider and schedule an			
	appointment while on the phone with the Member.			
3	HHSC is evaluating a potential change to MCO	9/1/17	On Target	Any proposed language and timeframes may change during
	contracts related to timeframes in which a MCO		Complete	contract negotiations with the MCOsThis evaluation is
	service coordinator must return a call.			complete and HHSC has determined that at the current
				time it is the "warm transfer" requirement in milestone
				number 2 is an adequate solution to the problem.

improving Member and Fre								
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration: X	Number:	76			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department		In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other: X					
Recommendation:			illing and able to provide mental	health services to i	ndividuals with IDD. Develop			
	trauma-informed s	ed systems of care for individuals with IDD.						
		runna informed systems of care for marviadais with 122.						
					y for mental health services for this			
	population can be even more difficult. Comprehensive assessments in the managed care programs should include mental							
	health screening a	nd evaluations fo	r individuals with IDD.					
Additional Stakeholder								
Background:								
Category:	Network Adequac							
Provided By:	Hogg Foundation							
HHSC Response:		0	* *		is a large state that includes rural			
			ry care, specialty, or behavioral					
					hortage is expected to worsen as the			
					ess to Medicaid providers, HHSC			
			COs and DMOs to ensure access					
	providers within a	certain distance	of an individual's home, as defin	ned by the state. How	wever, MCOs and DMOs can only			
	meet this standard	when the provide	er base exists and the providers a	are also contracted v	with the state Medicaid program.			
	MCOs and DMOs	that do not meet	these standards are subject to re	medies, including li	quidated damages, and must			
	maintain an adequ	ate provider netw	ork as a condition of contract re	tention and renewal				
	LIUCC will overlor	e the feesibility o	f developing trauma informed sy	votame of ours for in	dividuals with IDD as well as			
			aged care that include mental he					
	comprehensive as		aged care that merade mentar he	and controlling and c	variations.			
	HHSC and the Ho	gg Foundation ho	osted a Medicaid Brainstorming	Session on Septemb	er 29, 2016 to address service gaps			
					ns. Part of the summit discussion			
	included provider shortages and gaps in service provision that members with IDD experience.							
	DADS released a	OS released a free online training in June 2016 for people who care for, support, or advocate for people with IDD. This						
		g training series was developed by DADS and DSHS to educate direct service workers and others about						
		health needs of people who have an IDD and a co-occurring behavioral health condition. This training looks at						
				•	ellness in individuals with an IDD,			
					ied all MCOs of the training on June			
	and merades a mo	asic for trauffia-fi	morniog care for marviagais wit	IDD. IIIBC notin	aca an inicop of the training on Julic			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	10, 2016. The Mental Health Wellness for Individuals with an Intellectual or Developmental Disability training can be accessed online at http://www.mhwidd.com/.
	This item is moved to the IDD SRAC transition to managed care subcommittee. Stakeholder may identify opportunities to engage in future discussion through the IDD SRAC. HHSC in collaboration with the IDD SRAC will identify opportunities
	during the system redesign to incorporate MH-IDD recommendations or reconvene the MH-IDD workgroup on an ad hoc basis.
Date Last Updated:	03/12/2017- 11/13/17

	_	Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	DADS released training to educate direct service	6/3/2016	Completed	
	workers and others about behavioral health needs			
	of people who have an IDD and a co-occurring			
	behavioral health condition.			
2	HHSC notified MCOs of the training.	6/10/2016	Completed	
3	HHSC Medicaid Brainstorming Session to address	9/29/2016	Completed	
	service gaps and solutions for individuals dually			
	diagnosed with IDD and behavioral health			
	conditions.			
4	Review feedback obtained during the brainstorming	3/1/2017	Completed	
	session, and send compiled notes to external			
	stakeholders.			
5	Identify opportunities in the IDD System Redesign	9/1/2018	Ongoing	
	for MH-IDD recommendations discussed during	9/01/2021		
	the brainstorming session to be utilized			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	80			
rageney, 21, 201011 2 opur viiioiio	MCS	2000000	No Action to be Taken:	1 (0,110 01 0				
	Department		In Progress: X					
			Complete:					
			Other: X (Response and					
			Milestone consolidated to					
			1C)					
Recommendation:	Identify accurate services and LTS	•	ve methods for tracking and pro-	ving network adequ	acy, particularly for pediatric			
	Network Adequacy – As you know, this has been an ongoing concern for our organization and other stakeholders, particularly when it comes to establishing network adequacy for specialty services and long term services and supports (LTSS). Because home care agencies are by nature mobile, the current geo tracking system is inadequate for establishing network adequacy for home and community based services. We would like to work closely with your staff on the implementation of SB 760 and identify accurate and comprehensive methods for tracking and proving network adequacy, particularly for pediatric services and LTSS. We have provided recommendations to your staff in the past, such as measuring start-of-care timeframes, and would appreciate the opportunity to refresh those conversations.							
Additional Stakeholder Background:								
Category:	Network Adequac	cy / Access to Car	e					
Provided By:	Texas Association	n for Home Care	& Hospice					
HHSC Response:	Stakeholder Forum monitoring mechastakeholder group	HHSC has developed is developing an implementation plan for SB 760. Based on input HHSC received at the SB 760 Stakeholder Forum that was held on June 2016, staff will develop access standards for LTSS providers as well as monitoring mechanisms to ensure MCOs comply with established standards. HHSC will continue to work with stakeholder groups when developing provider access standards.						
	Remaining activity be reported in iter		the milestones also reported on	ın ıtem 1c, so future	e updates to these action items will			
Date Last Updated:	03/10/2017 10/26	/2017						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date		If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Review and incorporate feedback from stakeholder forum.	7/12/2016	Completed	

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	I			
2	Develop additional access standards for other provider types, including LTSS.	9/1/2017	Ongoing	Many states currently struggle with how to assess network adequacy for LTSS providers. As noted by the commenter, these provider types present specific challenges (including the fact that many typically travel "to" member location and not vice versa). HHSC will be reaching out to stakeholders in the near future to continue to address topic. Further, HHSC continues to work with CMS to identify how to implement standards for LTSS providers.
				Initial standards for several LTSS provider types has been developed and will be included in September 2018 contract amendments consistent with the added milestone.
3	Implement contract revisions for provider access standards.	9/1/2018	On Target	HHSC included several contract revisions for provider access standards effective 3/1/2017. Standards for LTSS will be included for the 9/1/2018 contract amendment. See item 1c for further updates.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	81			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department	In Progress: X						
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Ensure access to p	providers of pediat	tric and adult services.					
	While an MCO might employ or contract with a specific number of providers based on the number of beneficiaries in their network, the providers may be trained or limited in the ages of the people they treat. Ensuring access to providers of pediatric and adult services, as appropriate, would address this concern while strengthening provider networks and promoting beneficiary access. Additionally, fee schedules should be set in accordance with the current Medicaid fee schedule so that providers are not discouraged from accepting patients enrolled through MCOs.							
Additional Stakeholder			SHA and representatives confin					
Background:			iguage pathologies rather than a		mendation was specifically			
Category:	Network Adequac		<u> </u>	in providers.				
Provided By:	TSHA	y / Heeess to ear						
HHSC Response:	Current network adequacy standards require MCOs to ensure that all members have access to age-appropriate primary care providers. Additionally, HHSC is working with our EQRO to survey primary care providers (PCPs) about their experience in obtaining specialist referrals. The current PCP referral study survey examines referring children and adults separately. In addition, there is room for an open response for providers to report their experiences with any specialty (in addition to those explicitly listed in the survey).							
	HHSC does not set rates for services reimbursed by MCOs. MCOs are delegated the responsibility of managing a network and setting rates.							
Date Last Updated:	03/10/201711/17/	2017						

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
		-	/ Ongoing	date.
1	PCP Referral Study Phase 1 Summary of Results.	8/31/2016	Completed	
2	PCP Referral Study Report.	5/31/ 2018	Ongoing In Progress	In order to improve on the initial low response rate of less than 12%, additional time is needed to ensure the provider directories are accurate. Toward that goal, the EQRO is contracting with a vendor to call each clinic and validate: 1) up to five names per clinic, 2) address accuracy, 3) plans the provider accepts (CHIP/Medicaid), and 4) provider type. They also ask providers whether they would like to have the survey mailed, faxed, emailed, or completed online. Data collection will be complete in November with a final report slated for spring 2018. The completed report will be shared with IDD SRAC at this time. Ongoing work on this topic will be facilitated through IDD SRAC Since this is also a milestone for item 3c, this item will be closed. Please see item 3c for future updates on this item.
3	UMCC amendment effective for new online provider directory standards effective 3/1/2017.	9/1/2017	Completed	

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	83		
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:				
	Department		In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:				a transfer of the exi	sting PA for service needs to "carry		
	over" to the new p	olan, for the rema	inder of the PA date span.				
					MC plan change, we are asking for a		
					nainder of the PA date span. Most		
					vice to an already current member		
			within 180 days of service date.	for current physicia	n order to be accepted as "good" as		
Additional Stakeholder	long as physician	signature date is	within 180 days of service date.				
Background:							
Category:	Continuity of Care						
Provided By:	Texas Rehab Prov						
HHSC Response:			s to provide continuity in the car of Care and Out-of-Network Pro		members in accordance with his requirement is contingent upon		
					order is valid for the shortest period		
	of one of the following: (1) 90 calendar days after the transition to a new MCO or 180 calendar days for LTSS services for STAR+PLUS members; (2) until the end of the current authorization period; or (3) until the MCO has evaluated and						
	assessed the member and issued or denied a new authorization.						
	T	C is currently exploring options to share prior authorization content between payers when a member makes					
	change.						
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 12/4/201	7					

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
	Minestone	Completion Date	/ Ongoing	date.
1	Explore options and identify cost involved to make changes to collect and share prior authorization content between payers.	9/1/2017	Delayed Complete	Initial options were reviewed, and there was a high-level estimate received to collect this information. HHSC is currently exploring additional alternatives. Meetings have been held internally to discuss next steps, and staff are working to identify resources needed to implement this project.
2	Research alternative solutions and determine associated costs. This step includes obtaining stakeholder feedback.	4/1/18	On Target	identify resources needed to implement this project.
3	Final analysis due to leadership	5/1/18	On Target	
4	Leadership decision to proceed with implementation	6/1/18	On Target	
5	Implement technical solution if required in the approved implementation plan.	TBD	TBD	
6	Update policies and revise contracts if required in the approved implementation plan	TBD	TBD	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC FSD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	85		
			No Action to be Taken: X				
			In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	More adequately su	pport people with co	omplex medical and physical suppor	t needs to achieve com	nmunity integration in the		
	least restrictive sett	ing to meet their nee	ds.				
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Rates						
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc. / 7	Texas Council for De	evelopmental Disabilities / The Arc	of Texas			
HHSC Response:			gh medical needs add-on for its Inter				
		-	bilities and is currently working on o	developing such an add	l-on for the Home and		
	Community-based	Services (HCS) Prog	gram.				
	There was a decision to put the high medical needs project for HCS on hold pending the outcome of session due to						
	concerns about availability of funding. Following session, we will make a determination regarding if/when we can initiate						
	benefits.						
Date Last Updated:	03/20/2017						

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Present rules to Health and Human Services	9/23/2016	Ongoing	Staff presented these rules to the Health and Human Services
	Executive Council.			Executive Council on 9/23/2016. No vote was taken.
	Proposed rules for HCS high medical needs add-on	October 2016	On Target	
	published in the Texas Register for comment.			
2	Final rule should be adopted and effective, pending	TBD	Pending	Final rule is not being adopted. Appropriations for high
	appropriation.			medical needs services was not received during the 85 th
				Legislative Session. HHSC will not pursue the addition of high
				medical needs services to the HCS waiver at this time.
3	Rate for HCS high medical needs add-on effective,	TBD	Pending	NA
	pending appropriation.			

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	92			
2	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department		In Progress: X					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Improve understa	nding and effective	veness of care coordination with	in the Medicaid ma	naged care model.			
	 a) Increase provider education on (1) populations that receive automatic care coordination, (2) how to best utilize this automatic care coordination and (3) how to request care coordination on behalf of a patient that does not automatically receive it. b) Include a patient's care coordinator name and phone number on the patient's Medicaid card and in the patient's 							
	electronic portal c) Care coordinators should be held responsible for helping a transition age youth find adult providers d) Billable care coordination by both the physician and a social worker/nurse coordinator in the provider setting should be streamlined and MCOs should clearly outline for all medical homes how to take advantage of this service e) Educate providers on the unique care coordination model STAR Kids MCOs will be responsible for implementing f) Encourage MCOs to provide a capitated care coordination PMPM to practices able to demonstrate high quality outcomes with internal care coordination efforts.							
Additional Stakeholder Background:								
Category:	Service Coordinat	tion / Member As	sistance					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS							
HHSC Response:	Like STAR+PLUS, STAR Kids has a service coordinator hotline number that is on a STAR Kids member ID card, which will be an easy way for families or providers to reach a service coordinator. In addition, MCOs must provide a named service coordinator to any member who requests one, even if they are not in the groups that get one automatically (levels 1 and 2).							
	Everyone in STAR Kids also has access to transition planning beginning at age 15. A transition specialist at the MCO, working closely with the service coordinator, will help the family with transition planning. This includes activities like assisting members to find adult providers and preparing members for transitioning to STAR+PLUS when appropriate.							
	HHSC has added a requirement to the managed care contracts, effective 9/1/16, which will require the STAR+PLUS MCOs to notify a STAR+PLUS member in writing (or the member's preferred communication method) within 5 days, their service coordinator changes and provide updated contact information. In addition, each MCO has a service coordination hotline providers can call to receive the contact information for a member's care coordinator. STAR Kids definitions and requirements around care coordination and MCO standards were operational effective 11/1/16.							

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	If a provider needs to contact an MCO service coordinator, many MCOs post the information in the provider portal. In the							
	event the MCO does not, the provider should call the MCO service coordination line. These phone numbers are in each							
	provider handbook, on the MCO's website, and HHSC posts STAR+PLUS service coordination phone numbers in							
	Appendix VI, STAR+PLUS Inquiries Chart, in the STAR+PLUS Handbook. HHSC is developing something similar for							
	the STAR Kids Handbook.							
	uic STAK Kius Haliuuuuk.							
	IIIIC has savanal quality initiatives, among them is a mayo toyyand value hasad nynchasing for long term sarriage and							
	HHSC has several quality initiatives, among them is a move toward value-based purchasing for long term services and							
	supports. In addition, HHSC encourages stakeholders to provide recommendations for program improvements through a							
	variety of mechanisms, including requests for information and model requests for proposal for future contracts. HHSC							
	will take the feedback provided through the Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider							
	Experience in Medicaid Managed Care into account when developing future contracts as well as continue through various							
	mechanisms to collect and use valuable stakeholder input.							
Date Last Updated:	03/12/2017							

		Targeted	On Target	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving
	Milestone	Completion	/	successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
		Date	Complete	
			d /	
			Ongoing	
1	Adopt STAR+PLUS contract changes.	9/1/16	Completed	
2	Conduct STAR Kids Information Sessions.	10/1/16	Completed	
3	Implement STAR Kids.	11/1/16	Completed	
4	Ask for stakeholder input around care	1/30/2017	Completed	
	coordination, including Health Homes, in a			
	Request for Information (RFI) for new			
	STAR+PLUS contracts			
5	Continue to evaluate stakeholder requests		Ongoing	
	around improving care coordination and		Completed	
	implement requests, as appropriate			

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration: X	Number:	95				
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:						
	Department		In Progress:						
			Complete:						
			Other: X						
Recommendation:	Conduct satisfaction surveys from individuals with IDD who have had their acute care services transitioned to managed care.								
	The recommendation includes development of a questions that are relevant to persons with IDD, hence sent separately from any questionnaire sent to others enrolled in the Texas Medicaid managed care program. Note: The introductory information sent to persons with IDD prior to the 9/1/14 transition contained STAR+PLUS Health Plan Report Cards. The purpose of								
	information was	not relevant to ass	ist persons in making an informe	ed MCO selection for	rated by others using the MCOs. The or a host of reasons. One reason is that				
			whose acute care services were t						
			at the questionnaire that served as $e 9/1/14$ transition. Even if the qu						
			to be rated were not items of mo						
Additional Stakeholder					eting with EveryChild, Inc., Texas				
Background:					8/9/2016. In both meetings feedback				
			ortance of having information ab						
			l with IDD currently has little in	formation with whi	ch to determine which plans may best				
	meet their needs.								
Category:		gement and feedb	ack						
Provided By:	PPAT								
HHSC Response:	HHSC will discuss the feasibility of a satisfaction survey for this population, seeking input from our IDD SRAC as well as the MCOs. This item was added to the July 28, 2016 IDD SRAC Meeting agenda. HHSC shared a copy of the existing CAHPS survey with the IDD SRAC and attended the 10/3/2016 meeting to discuss further the survey and its applicability to the IDD population. In October, IDD SRAC members decided that obtaining specific HEDIS results for individuals with IDD would be more useful. EQRO is running the analysis which should be ready for the December SRAC meeting.								
	See recommendation 3C for information on the STAR Kids focus study as it relates to members with IDD. Additionally, as part of the focus study Texas's External Quality Review Organization is testing additional questions to determine their feasibility and applicability to the STAR Kids population. Since the remaining milestones are also part of item 3c, this item will be closed. Please see item 3c for future updates on this								
	item.	<i>6</i>			The state of the s				
Date Last Updated:	3/10/2017 12/7/2	017							

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	HHSC will seek input from IDD SRAC.	7/28/2016	Completed	
2	HHSC will discuss feasibility with MCOs.	TBD		
3	HHSC Quality Assurance staff to attend IDD	10/3/16	Completed	
	SRAC meeting.			
4	Pre-implementation survey for STAR Kids focus	10/31/2016	Completed	
	study.			
5	STAR Kids pre-implementation focus study final	4/30/2017	Completed	Preliminary results from the pre-implementation study were
	report.			presented to the STAR Kids Advisory Committee at their
				public meeting on March 1, 2017. The final pre-
				implementation report will bewas shared with the committee in
				summer 2017.
6	Post-implementation survey for STAR Kids focus	August 2018	On Target	Since this is also a milestone for item 3c, this item will be
	study.			closed. Please see item 3c for future updates on this item.
7	STAR Kids post-implementation focus study final	June, 2019	On Target	Since this is also a milestone for item 3c, this item will be
	report.		_	closed. Please see item 3c for future updates on this item.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	97 / 98	
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:			
	Department		In Progress: X			
	_		Complete:			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Meaningfully info	Meaningfully inform and include people with DD on councils, workgroups, and committees concerning their health and				
	human services.					
Additional Stakeholder					for Developmental Disabilities, Arc	
Background:					back that supports are not provided	
		nd shared concern	ns that feedback is routed throug	th HHSC and not pro	ovided directly to legislative	
~ .	leadership.	1.0.11				
Category:	Stakeholder engag	,		. 151 1913	(FI)	
Provided By:	Disability Rights	Texas/EveryChild	l, Inc./Texas Council for Develo	pmental Disabilities	The Arc of Texas	
HHSC Response:	and workgroups, wexamining our construction on the HHSC civil rigrepresentation on the HHSC has initiated impact the many is on July 26, 2016, Through our advis regarding the qual the Executive Construction of the HHSC has initiated impact the many is on July 26, 2016, Through our advis regarding the qual the Executive Construction of the HHSC has initiated impact the many is on July 26, 2016, Through our advis regarding the qual the Executive Construction of the HHSC has initiated impact the many is on July 26, 2016, Using the forums receiving services	we are always into mmittee members ue to consider income ghts agency staff of the councils and of da new Medicaion andividuals served 1:00 - 5:00 p.m., sory committees, ity of care received mmissioner's deci- laricon Committee tee, and the STAI very of Medicaid described above, , and LTSS provi-	s every effort to inform and include individuals with developmental disabilities on comme are always interested in ways we might enhance outreach and participation. HHSC is committee memberships and other opportunities for public comment to look for areas of importunities agency staff in council and committee membership decisions to ensure adequate and recouncils and committees. In a new Medicaid and CHIP stakeholder forum as an opportunity to learn about changes to dividuals served by Medicaid and CHIP. The first of these all inclusive stakeholder meeting of care received. Several advisory committees are given opportunities to serve and expressity of care received. Several advisory committees are in the process of identifying member missioner's decisions to reestablish the Texas Council on Consumer Direction and the Statistic Committee. These committees—in addition to the IDD SRAC, the BHIAC, Medical and the STAR Kids Advisory Committee—provide a forum for stakeholder input on pery of Medicaid managed care services. In a consumer process of identifying members and the STAR Kids Advisory Committee—provide a forum for stakeholder input on pery of Medicaid managed care services. In a consumer process of identifying members and the STAR Kids Advisory Committee—provide a forum for stakeholder input on pery of Medicaid managed care services.			

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	iproving incinion and riv	The state of the s				
		After further discussion with stakeholders, MCS leadership directed additional efforts to develop a policy around the				
supports and processes to be used for councils, workgroups, and committees on which individuals with DD may ser						
participate. In addition, MCS will work with The Arc of Texas to provide training and information to employees about						
		need for these supports and the steps to take for inclusive meetings.				
1	Date Last Undated:	3/9/2017 11/17/2017				

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Identify changes needed to ensure participation of individuals participating on councils, workgroups,	11/30/16	On Target Completed	
	and committees is meaningful and appropriately supported.			
2	Develop plans to address issues.	2/1/2017	Completed	
3	Establish internal workgroup to develop policy to	6/1/2017	On Target	
	outline expectations for supports and process to use		Completed	
	to establish an inclusive meeting for individuals			
	with DD that may serve or participate.			
4	Coordinate with The Arc of Texas to deliver	9/1/2017	On Target	
	training for staff.		Completed	
5	Develop draft MCS policy outlining expectations	1/1/2018	On Target	
	for meeting supports for inclusion of individuals with IDD.			
6	Add draft components to the HHSC facilitation	4/1/2018	On Target	
	guide, outlining expectations for meeting supports		C	
	for inclusion of individuals with IDD.			
7	Finalize MCS policy.	6/1/2017	Delayed	This timeline has been extended due to the impact of activities
		5/1/2018		to support the legislative session and the agency hiring freeze.
				This remains a priority for implementation in 2017.
8	Conduct training for MCS staff in coordination	7/1/2018	On Target	
	with The Arc of Texas.			
9	Develop plans for meeting ongoing training needs.	7/1/2018	On Target	
10	Finalize HHSC facilitation guide.	TBD	On Target	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	103	
g,	MCS	2 333 32 3	No Action to be Taken:	- 1022270 02 1		
	Department		In Progress: X			
	1	Complete:				
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Conduct data ana	lysis to support in	centive payments.			
	Conduct an analy	sis to compare an	d compute:			
	A. Hospital outpa	tient out-of-netwo	ork rates of contracted services:			
	B. Dollar impact	of high utilization	of outpatient and ER services;	and		
	C. Development	of potential incent	ive payments to MCOs that con	ntrol outpatient rates	s of utilization.	
	The expanded analysis can be used to confirm or refute the correlations between high rates of outpatient utilization and high rates of non-contracted network providers. In addition, the agency can use the expanded analysis to measure the fiscal impact that high utilization rates have on managed care costs. The agency can use this data to consider providing incentive payments to high performing MCOs. HHSC can use this analysis to get a better understanding of the out-of-network activity. The current out-of-network rules tie the hands of providers and give a big advantage to Medicaid MCOs.					
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Alternative Payment Mechanisms					
Provided By:	THA					
HHSC Response:		SC collects information vital to monitoring utilization rates in the program. HHSC will meet with THA to discus				
		endation, and develop a scope of work to expand the impact analyses. This meeting will be scheduled for				
	the legislative ses					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017 11/17/2	017				

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Meet with THA.	8/1/2017	Delayed	
		2/1/2018		
2	Review this recommendation and determine full	TBD		
	scope of activities.			

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	104		
Agency/Division/Department.	MCS	status.	No Action to be Taken:	Nullibel.	104		
	Department		In Progress: X				
	Department		Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	commandation: Implement accounts		linked to reimbursement				
Tecommendation.	It is important that HMOs have accountability measures so advocates can monitor what they are doing. These						
	accountability measures should be in the contract linked to reimbursement so the HMO's have an economic incentive to						
					ted what we are calling Community		
	Integration Perfor	mance Indicators.	Community Integration Perform	mance Indicators:			
					ilities/institutions; 3. # of people		
					ion; 5. # of people offered consumer		
					ring in their own home or apartment;		
			ng; 9. # of people in adult foster				
					vices; 13. Length of time keeping an		
					wages \$9.00 to \$10.00; 17. Pay e Technology such as communication		
					endants; 21. Advisory Committee		
	*		using the services and supports.	ised Direct Care Att	endants, 21. Advisory Committee		
Additional Stakeholder	made up of at real	t 50% of people t	using the services and supports.				
Background:							
Category:	Contract Provision	ns					
Provided By:	ADAPT Texas						
HHSC Response:	HHSC appreciates	s this information	and the recommendation for me	easures. Currently, the	here are no national standards or		
-					quality assurance program. CMS has		
					nparable, valid, and reliable measures		
			xas-specific measures have now				
					eognized measures. LTSS will be		
	included in the va	lue-based paymer	nt program when such measures	become available.			
	IIIICC will take th	a atalrah aldan aya	raastad maufaumanaa indiaataus i	nto consideration if	notional management developed		
	HHSC will take the stakeholder suggested performance indicators into consideration if national measures are develop and when coordinating with the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Husting the National Association of States United for Aging the National Association of States United f						
	Services Research Institute (HSRI). Note: HHSC would need legislative direction and appropriation to increase the						
	attendant wages, as suggested in this recommendation.						
	attendant wages, as suggested in this recommendation.						
	HHSC is currently focusing attention on its participation in the NASUAD and HSRI National Core Indicators - Aging and						
					allow the state to understand, from		
	Dogo 106						

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	the member's perspective, how their LTSS impact their quality of life and	the member's perspective, how their LTSS impact their quality of life and health outcomes. The survey is conducted					
	biannually through in-person member surveys administered by EQRO. Included in the survey sample are STAR+PLUS						
	members receiving LTSS through STAR+PLUS HCBS. The first year of surveys were completed in May 2016, and HHSC						
	intends to participate on a biannual basis. The 2015-2016 survey domains are:						
	Community Participation	 Medication 					
	Choice and Decision-Making	 Rights and Respect 					
	 Relationships 	• Self-Direction					
	Satisfaction	 Work 					
	Service/Care Coordination	 Everyday Living 					
	• Access	 Affordability 					
	• Safety	 Planning for Future 					
	Health care	 Functional Competence 					
	• Wellness	-					
Date Last Updated:	03/10/201711/17/2017						

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	HHSC to receive first draft of report on NCI-AD	October 2016	Completed	
	results from NASUAD and HSRI.			
2	Analyze survey results and determine next steps.	04/30/2017	Ongoing	Survey results have been posted to the NASUAD website:
			Complete	http://nci-ad.org/states/TX/. Results were shared with MCOs in
				Summer 2017. Plans were informed that methodology
				changed for the 2017-2018 survey and the results of the 2017-
				2018 survey would be used to establish a baseline and HHSC
				would evaluate and establish benchmarks for improvement at
				that time.